Legal English for Judicial cooperation in civil and commercial matters.

Regulation 1215/2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments (Brussels Ia)

Eva Samaniego Fernández

1. General legal terms.

Choose the appropriate term below for the definitions.

TERMS

settlement	party	habitual residence	regulation
choice of court/forum selection	authentic instrument	leading question	hearing
draft	disposition	admission of debt	counterclaim
legal person	default	to have jurisdiction	action
pecuniary	bench trial	to fall due	hung jury
ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution)	decision	conveyancing	dissenting opinion
transcript	trial court/court of record	expert opinion/evidence	injunction
to award	overturn	concurring opinion	breach of contract
legalisation	acknowledgement of receipt	irreconcilable	appeal
appearance	assignment	undertaking	damages

DEFINITIONS

1	Legal proceedings (civil or criminal).
2	Recognition by an addressee that a letter or notification has been received.
3	Drawing up a legal document to transfer the ownership of property from seller to buyer.
4	Where a judge disagrees with the majority opinion in a case.
5	Where a judge agrees with the majority opinion in a case.
6	The preliminary version of a legal document, such as a contract or an Act.
7	Where more than one court has the jurisdiction to hear a case and parties wish to select which one would be more favourable for their case.
8	To have the legal power to hear and decide a case.

9	Place (usually country) where a person habitually lives.
10	Person or group involved in a contract or in proceedings as a litigant.
11	A claim brought by a defendant in response to the claimant.
12	To decide (e.g. a court) that an amount must be paid to a party.
13	Evidence given by a witness who is a specialist in a certain subject.
14	When parties reach an agreement on the claim without pursuing the matter through a trial.
15	Document recording a legal act or fact whose authenticity is certified by a public authority.
16	Recognition by a party that an amount of money is owed.
17	Failure to carry out some or all of the terms of a contract.
18	In EU law, a legislative instrument that is binding in its entirety on all those to whom it is addressed. (Also: general term for a judgment).
19	Failure in duty or performance (i.e., to fulfil an obligation), e.g. "in of appearance".
20	To reach a date for payment.
21	Something for which a solution is not possible (e.g. " differences") or which cannot be made compatible (e.g. " judgments")
22	Court session in which oral arguments are heard and evidence is presented.
23	Authentication, e.g. of a document, so that it is acceptable by a body/institution/court
24	Related to money (e.g. " damages", "nonloss").
25	In the EU, instrument of general scope that is binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.
26	Monetary compensation awarded by a court in a civil action to a party who has been injured through the wrongful conduct of another party.
27	Annul, lift, repeal, revoke, reverse (e.g. " a judgment").
28	An official or certified representation of what took place in a court during a trial or other legal proceeding.
29	A non-human entity that is treated as a person for limited legal purposes (also a single person having a separate personality before the law other than his own).

30	The submission to a court's jurisdiction; a lawyer declaring to the court that s/he represents a litigant in a case before the court (also referred to as "entering an").
31	A court order by which an individual is required to perform, or is restrained from performing, a particular act or course of conduct.
32	A court that hears and determines a case initially, as against an appellate court.
33	A method for resolving disputes other than by litigation.
34	To ask a higher court to reverse the decision of a trial court after judgment or other legal ruling.
35	A trial before a judge without a jury.
36	A jury that cannot reach a unanimous verdict.
37	A question put to a witness that suggests the answer the questioner wishes to obtain.
38	The transfer of a legal right by one legal or natural person to another.
39	The transfer of property by its owner.
40	(a) A promise to do or not to do a specified act.(b) A business.

2. Use of legal English

1. When you are representing a party in court you say that you are a party.
2. When there's more than one judge sitting together they sit in
3. When a judge sits by himself/herself, s/he sits
4. When a case is heard with no public it is heard
5. When a case is heard with public it is heard
6. A lawyer who is active/working is called a lawyer.
7. When a judge is dealing with a case he is it.
8. A technical way of saying "intentionally" is:
9. When a court supports the decision of a lower court: to
10. Another way of saying "temporary measures" is measures.
11. Some provisions may have the same <i>standing</i> as Acts, that is, they have of law.
12. The necessary level of proof is called the of proof.
13. When it is someone's responsibility to prove a case, it is called the of proof.

3. Prepositions

Complete the phrases below using the correct preposition.

against, by, down, for, forth, from, into, to, on, out, through, under, up, upon, with

1. Members of official institutions must abide ______ the law in all circumstances 2. The defendant's lawyers carefully weighed the submissions before answering. 3. Third parties are not eligible ______ that kind of compensation. 4. To sum ______, there were three very powerful reasons not to sign the contract. 5. The costs of litigation have been accounted ______ when calculating the final amount. 6. The parties a contract must both sign it. 7. I think we can rule ______ any compensation at this stage of the proceedings. 8. We'll work the sum right before the trial. 9. Counsel is subject the requirements of the Civil Procedural Code. 10. The company was wound ______ last year due to persistent debt problems. 11. The defendant was served the claim form. 12. Pursuant ______ the contract, the amount will be transferred next month. 13. His main concern was to protect the company misappropriation. 14. The company's position is set in paragraph 9 of the contract. 15. Parties have rights and obligations ______ a contract. 16. The company must set ______ very clear guidelines to deal ______ complaints. 17. The defendant submitted an application ______ the Court. 18. Defence lawyer skimmed the witnesses' statements before going into court. 19. I think his company will benefit the contract.

20. The lawyer's argument rested ______ a questionable interpretation of the Childcare Act 2016.

21. The trial judge ordered that the case be struck ______.

22. The figures of the financial report can be broken ______ as follows.

23. No rights are assigned ______ a third party under this contract.

24. The company agreed ______ the terms subject ______ certain conditions.

25. The claimant filed an application ______ an injunction.

26. The defendant was charged ______ murder.

27. Should there be a breach, we can always enforce the contract ______ the seller.

28. The legal team consists ______ three civil and two criminal lawyers.

29. The parent company will draw ______ its capital reserves to finance its new venture.

30. Negotiations between the parties have broken ______.

31. The parties finally entered ______ the agreement after a long negotiation.

32. There is no third-party beneficiary ______ this contract.

33. The subsidiary company disposed _____ most of its assets because it had many debts.

34. Both parties are entitled ______ damages should there be breach of contract by either one.

35. The Chief Executive Officer pressed ______a final agreement after the meeting.

36. The Regulation provides ______ different ways of transmitting and serving documents.

37. Unfortunately, the company has departed ______ generally accepted accounting principles.

38. Today the trial judge will hand ______ her judgment.

39. Any such questions might be objected ______ on the grounds that they are argumentative.

40. Your route of appeal depends on certain factors such as the court where the decision you wish to appeal ______ was made.

4. Latinisms

Give the Latin terms for the following definitions and then try to pronounce them in English.

1	Against a thing/property.
2	A pending suit or action.
3	From the beginning.
4	Acts and omissions in the exercise of State authority.
5	For a suit, for specific proceedings (e.g. "representative").
6	For this purpose.
7	This in return for that (an advantage/favour given in return for something).
8	"Please execute". "Declaration of enforceability" (when a national court verifies that a judgment issued by a foreign court may be executed).
9	The need for a forum (lit. <i>market</i>).
10	The law of the case (law governing the substance of the case).
11	By one of the parties. Based on one party's request, without hearing from the other side.
12	Law of the court in which the action is brought.
13	At first sight, on the face of it.
14	When someone or a body does something of its own motion, by virtue of their status or position.
15	In the absence of.
16	Law of the country where the harmful event occurred (for non-contractual obligations).
17	Adjourned indefinitely.
18	The reason/rationale for the decision.
19	The thing speaks for itself.
20	As much as he deserves.

21	Per day.
22	For each person.
23	In the room.
24	From what comes before, before seeing the facts.
25	Elsewhere.
26	In the matter of
27	Bring forth/give me the body.
28	In the place of a parent.
29	In good faith
30	In fact.
31	By right, by law.
32	By a subsequent act.
33	In the original position.
34	Otherwise known as.
35	Among other things.
36	Way of doing something.
37	Changing what has to be changed. (with the necessary changes)
38	Passing comments, comments "by the way" in a judgment.
39	The other way round.
40	The current state of affairs.
41	By itself.
42	By the fact itself, by the very fact.

5. General civil law terms

Match each term with the appropriate definition.

1	Statute of limitation	a. Proceedings brought by a defendant in response to the claimant's claim.
2	To strike out	b. To challenge, to oppose a claim.
3	Court costs/fees	c. Procedure whereby one party is required to inform the other of documents relevant to the claim.
4	Settlement	d. Cases concerning sums under a certain threshold.
5	Cross-examination	e. Circumstances that give a person the right to bring a lawsuit and to receive relief from a court.
6	Preponderance of the evidence/likelihood/balance of probabilities	f. One who claims or brings a claim; an applicant for justice.
7	Service	g. The person against whom an action is brought.
8	Preliminary/ temporary/ provisional/interim injunction	h. In a general sense, a statement under oath, usually given out of court.
9	Expert opinion/evidence	i. Formal statements by the parties to an action setting forth their claims (or defences).
10	Claimant	j. The degree of proof required in most civil actions.
11	Counterclaim	k. Statutes prescribing the maximum period of time during which various types of civil actions (and criminal prosecutions) can be brought after the occurrence of the wrong or the offence.
12	Submission	l. An order for the termination of a civil action without a trial of its issues, or without further trial.
13	Deposition	m. An injunction granted prior to a full hearing on the merits and which is not permanent.
14	Disclosure	n. The expenses involved in litigating an action.
15	Perjury	o. In general, the means provided by the law to help one party because the other party has acted contrary to the rules of law.
16	Summons (subpoena)	p. A sworn written statement made by a witness.

17	Cause of action	q. Questioning of a witness by a party that has not called the witness.
18	Pleadings (statement of claim)	r. Evidence given by a witness who is a specialist in a certain subject.
19	Title deeds	s. When the advocate questions his/her witness to support his client's version of events.
20	Strict liability	t. The party who is owed some money.
21	Defendant	u. Telling lies in court while under oath.
22	Small claims	v. The delivery of court documents.
23	Dismissal	w. Where parties avoid going to trial by reaching an agreement on the claim.
24	Examination-in-chief (direct examination)	x. To cancel an action, for example because the claim reveals no grounds.
25	Creditor	y. An argument pleaded before a court.
26	To contest	z. A court order requiring a witness to appear in court.
27	Witness statement (<i>affidavit</i>)	a bis. Responsibility for a wrong that is imposed without the claimant having to prove that the defendant was at fault (e.g. in product liability and defamation claims).
28	Legal remedy	b bis. The documents that prove a person's ownership of land.

6. Prefixes

Add prefixes (*dis-, im-, in-, ir-, il-, ab-, un-,* etc.) to each of these words to form their opposites (antonyms).

- 1. Agree
- 2. Licit
- 3. Dependent
- 4. Valid
- 5. Respective
- 6. Practicable
- 7. Legal
- 8. Fair
- 9. Normal
- 10. Written
- 11. Limited
- 12. Justice
- 13. Restricted
- 14. Direct
- 15. Formal
- 16. Comparable
- 17. Lawful
- 18. Constitutional
- 19. Likely
- 20. Reconcilable
- 21. Competent
- 22. Sufficiently
- 23. Reliability
- 24. Authorised
- 25. Effectiveness

7. Plain English

Replace the following with equivalents that are shorter and simpler:

- 1. by the introduction of:
- 2. for the allocation of:
- 3. of the provision of:
- 4. submit an application for:
- 5. carry out an evaluation of:
- 6. implement an investigation of:
- 7. conduct a review of:
- 8. perform an assessment:
- 9. effect a renewal of:
- 10. in view of the fact that:
- 11. with respect to:
- 12. a certain number of:
- 13. the majority of:
- 14. within the framework of:
- 15. for the purpose of:
- 16. in the event of:
- 17. if this is not the case:
- 18. if this is the case:
- 19. with reference/regard to:
- 20.in accordance with:

8. Compound adverbial-prepositional phrases

Use compound adverbial-prepositional phrases to fill in the gaps, using the explanation given in brackets.

whereat, hereafter, hereinafter, thereupon, whereof, thereafter, heretofore, thereof, whereabouts, hereinabove, therein, herewith, hereunder, wherefore, thereby, herein, hereto, whereby, thereto, hereby, whereupon

1. The questions raised in point 27 _____ have to be taken into consideration.

(PREVIOUSLY IN THIS DOCUMENT)

2. All procedural documents, as well as any other correspondence sent to the Board, must be lodged at the Registry of the Board (_______ "the Registry").

(LATER REFERRED TO IN THIS DOCUMENT)

3. Accordingly, by the present Communication the Commission formally informs the Council of its intention to adopt the measures presented in the Annex

(TO THIS DOCUMENT, OR MATTER, OR PLACE)

4. The practice of holding national seminars open to all actors has ______ been seen only as part of the process of putting together

national plans.

(BEFORE NOW, SO FAR, UP TO NOW)

5. Where a national accreditation body does not meet the requirements of this Regulation or fails to fulfil its obligations ______, the Member State concerned shall take appropriate corrective action.

(LATER REFERRED TO IN THIS DOCUMENT)

6. The six remaining approved evaluation reports are presented ______. (WITH THIS DOCUMENT; ATTACHED)

 The seller tried to charge extra interest, ______ the buyer objected. (AT WHICH)

8. This article also contains the process ______ inspections supervised by the Commission are put in place to check the effectiveness of these measures.

(BY WHICH)

(AS A RESULT OF WHICH)

10. In witness ______ the undersigned, being duly authorised thereto, have signed this Protocol.

(OF WHAT/OF WHICH)

11. Private citizens may hand in unlicensed firearms to police authorities, ______ possible charges for illegal possession may be dropped.

(IMMEDIATELY AFTER WHICH)

12. The number of district courts is ______ reduced from 82 small local courts to 24 larger district courts.

(FROM NOW ON)

13. The parties ______ agree that, in the event of dispute, jurisdiction shall remain with the courts of Portugal.

(BY THIS MEANS, BY THIS DOCUMENT)

14. All other products mentioned ______ may be trademarks of their respective companies.

(IN THIS DOCUMENT, MENTIONED HERE)

15. This lease will be valid from one year from this date and will be renewed yearly

(AFTER THAT TIME, IN THE FUTURE)

16. Corruption was found to scare away investment, ______ lowering economic growth.

(AS A RESULT OF THAT)

_____•

17. Copies or reproductions to be discarded shall be destroyed to avoid access to the information contained ______ or its later recovery.

(IN THAT PLACE OR DOCUMENT)

18. Please provide statistical data on the number of complaints received and investigated in this respect during the reporting period, as well as the number of prosecutions and convictions _____.

(OF WHAT HAS BEEN MENTIONED JUST NOW)

19. Legislative guides for the implementation of the United Nations Convention against transnational organized crime and the protocol ______ must be followed.

(ATTACHED TO IT/TO THAT DOCUMENT)

20. (...) it is ______ ordered and adjudged that payment be made to the claimant.

(DIRECTLY FOLLOWING THAT; CONCERNING THAT MATTER)

21. There is information about the ______ of those fugitives, but there is still little progress in arresting them.

(PLACE WHERE SOMEONE OR SOMETHING IS)

9. Law of delict¹: vocabulary

Match the terms with the definitions.

1	Invasion of privacy	a. Where one person is held responsible for the wrongdoing of another person (e.g. an employer is held liable for the delicts/torts
		of an employee that were committed in the course of his employment).
2	Abuse of process	b. A private or civil wrong, resulting from a breach of a legal duty.
3	Remedy	c. A sum of money awarded by the court as compensation to the claimant for the wrong suffered.
4	Relief	d. A duty binding on one party to avoid acts or omissions which would be likely to injure another party.
5	Wrong	e. The manner in which a right is satisfied by a court when some harm or injury, recognised by society as a wrongful act, is inflicted upon an individual.
6	Fraud	f. Where the claimant's reputation has been damaged publicly.
7	Account of profit(s)	g. Careless conduct that results in damage to another.
8	Breach of duty	h. The specified procedural period of time to do things.
9	Trespass (to land)	i. A form of defamation, where the defamatory statements are in writing.
10	Damages	j. When an individual intentionally enters the land of another without lawful excuse.
11	Libel	k. The unlawful intrusion into the personal life of another person without just cause.
12	Vicarious liability	l. A malicious and deliberate misuse of regularly issued court process not justified by the underlying legal action.
13	Delict/tort	m. A type of delict/tort that involves misuse of the public right of access to the courts by: (1) intentionally (and maliciously) instituting and pursuing (or causing to be instituted or pursued) a legal action that is (2) brought without probable cause and (3) dismissed in favour of the victim of the malicious prosecution.
14	Defamation	n. A deliberate deception to secure unfair or unlawful gain, or to deprive a victim of a legal right, owing to which the party suffers a damage.
15	Time limits	o. Failure to satisfy ethical, legal or moral obligation, especially when someone has the right to demand that satisfaction.
16	Injunction	q. A person or thing causing inconvenience or annoyance.

¹ "Delict" is the preferred term in civil law systems. "Tort" is used in common law systems.

17	Specific performance	r. When a person is legally responsible for the damage and loss caused by his/her acts and omissions regardless of culpability and with no requirement to prove fault, negligence or intention.
18	Malicious use of process	s. When each party is independently liable for the full extent of the injuries arising from the wrongful act.
19	Duty of care	t. The redress, or benefit, given by a court to an individual who brings a legal action, for example an exemption from a tax or the return of property wrongfully taken by another, or enforcement of a contract.
20	Negligence	u. An action taken against a defendant to recover the profits taken as a result of the breach of duty, in order to prevent unjust enrichment.
21	Strict liability	w. Order of a court which requires a party to perform a specific act, usually what is stated in a contract.
22	Nuisance	x. Court order, usually issued to the defendant, to do or refrain from doing something.
23	Joint and several liability	y. A right of someone to recover from a third party the whole amount which he himself is liable to pay.
24	Indemnity	z. Activity that transgresses moral or civil law, for exampledoing.

10. Contract law terminology

(a) Give the terms for the following definitions. You have a clue for each of them.

1	D	Unlawful pressure exerted upon a person to coerce him/her into performing an act that he or she ordinarily would not perform (for instance, entering into a contract).
2	ity	When a person is legally able to enter into a contract and be bound by its terms.
3	C	An agreement between parties which is legally enforceable.
4	To aside	To decide that something will not have legal effect; to cancel or to make void.
5	factor	Clause, provision or term that makes a contract be voidable or void in part or in full.
6	Ction	An act or promise by one party to a contract that constitutes the price for which the promise of the other party is bought.
7	V contract	A contract with legal effect and force but which is liable to be unenforceable, rescinded or set aside.
8	Un ine	When a contract has been entered into as the result of improper pressure.
9	T	When a contract is brought to an end either by breach, impossibility of performance, or some other reason.
10	R	When a voidable contract is set aside as a result or some legal defect, fraud, etc.
11	Spc p	A court order to make someone carry out his/her obligations under a contract.
12	Notice of	Written notice to a party that he/she is in breach of contract.
13	Quant uit	Latinism meaning "for as much as he deserves".
14	damages	Where the parties themselves have determined the level of damages.
15	E clause	Provision in a contract under which one party's liability in the event of failure is excused or excluded.
16	Fm	French expression to refer to events outside the control of the parties which prevent one or both of them from fulfilling their obligations under the contract and for which they cannot be held liable, for instance in the case of extraordinary events (a war, strike, riot, etc.), or an event described by the legal term "act of God" (earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, volcanic eruptions, etc.).
17	arge	To free from the obligations under a contract (e.g. by performance, by agreement, etc.).
18	A	Proportionate reduction in the payment of debts that takes place when assets are insufficient to settle with creditors in full.

19	Aof pit(s)	A gain-based remedy for money received where one person/entity has profited from a wrong at the expense of another; a sum equal to the amount the defendant has made through wronging the claimant. A litigant can claim it as an alternative to damages in certain circumstances (e.g. copyright infringement).
20	ars	A sum of money that has not been paid or has only been paid in part at the time it is due; it is an accumulation of financial liabilities that have not been settled by their due dates (e.g. rent).
21	0r	One to whom a debt is owed.
22	0r	One who owes a debt.
23	Psion	A term or clause in a contract.

(b) Now fill in the gaps in the following sentences with the correct form of the missing terms, using the clue provided in brackets.

- 1. This agreement is ______ (*constituted*) this 3rd of January 2011, by and between David Jones and Mary Davis.
- 3. Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual agreements and covenants herein ______ (incorporated), the parties hereto ______ (concur, consent)

as follows.

- 4. The proper ______ (*interpretation*) of clause 3 appears to show that the seller has certain liabilities.
- 5. The term of this Agreement shall ______ (*begin*) on 5th of May 2010 and shall ______ (*remain*) in full force and effect until ______

(*finished*) by either party upon at least ninety (90) days.

- 6. This Agreement shall be construed and ______ (*executed, put into effect*) in accordance with the laws of Spain.
- 8. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have duly executed this Lease as of the day and year first above ______ (*stated, indicated*).
- 9. Breach of a ______ (*relevant, essential, important*) term of the contract shall give the other party the right to rescind it.
- 10. The ______ (*agreements*) under this lease agreement are to be enforceable.
- 11. The company had to ______ (*sell or transfer property*) some of its assets in order to pay some debts.

- 12. Both parties shall be ______ (*release from an obligation*) from all liability once the terms of the contract have been complied with.
- 13. The creditor's ______ (*refraining from enforcing a debt*) in extending credit to the debtor meant that the company was able to continue in business.
- 14. _____ (return or payment of property offered as security for a debt) of the mortgage will take place when the last instalment has been paid.
- 15. The buyer's company has ______ (*fail to fulfil its obligation*) on its repayment schedule.
- 16. The seller has acted to his ______ (*harm, damage*) in agreeing to a change in the original contract.
- 17. Clause no. 12 is ______ (not legally enforceable or binding).
- 18. In spite of the efforts by one of the parties, the contract was declared ______ and _____ (*invalid, not having legal force*).
- 19. The contract ______ (*specify, require, demand*) that all payments must be made before the end of the financial year.
- 20. This new agreement ______ (*takes the place of, overrides*) all previous arrangements between the parties.

(c) Explain in your own words the meaning of the following rules for the interpretation of a contract:

- 1. <u>Contra proferentem rule</u>:
- 2. Noscitur a sociis rule:
- 3. *Ejusdem generis* rule:
- 4. <u>Expressio unis est exclusio alterius rule</u>:

Now interpret the meaning of the following, using the rules above: <u>EJUSDEM GENERIS</u>

- 1. "Cats, dogs and other animals". How do you interpret "other animals"?
- 2. "Automobiles, trucks, tractors, motorcycles and other motor vehicles". How do you interpret "vehicles"?

EXPRESIO UNIS EST EXCLUSSIO ALTERIUS

- 3. "Weekends and public holidays". What does it exclude?
- 4. "Do not remove chairs from the library". Does it allow for the removal of books?

(d) "Damages". Fill in the gaps with the correct word.

1. Compensation should be full and should cover all damages ______.

2. He decided to ______ the company for damages.

3. If goods are lost in transit, the carrier will be ______ for damages.

4. Directive 2014/104/EU of 26 November 2014 on certain rules governing actions ______ damages under national law for infringements of the competition law provisions of the Member States.

(e) Types of contract clauses.

[Sources: https://www.translegal.com/lesson/6865-2; http://www.legalmatch.com/lawlibrary/article/common-clauses-in-a-contract.html]

1. A(n) ______ clause is a clause prohibiting or permitting a complete transfer of rights under the contract to another party.

2. A(n) ______ clause is a clause in which certain information is labelled private and prohibited from being disclosed or distributed to anyone.

3. A(n) ______ clause is designed to protect against failures to perform contractual obligations caused by unavoidable events beyond a party's control, such as natural disasters.

4. A(n) ______ of _____ clause is where the parties agree that the contract terms will only be interpreted according to the laws of a specific state and/or that litigation will only occur in a specified jurisdiction.

5. A(n) ______ of _____ clause states the time frame in which a lawsuit can be filed after a breach of contract or other violation.

6. A(n) ______ clause: These agreements release the other party from liability in the event that losses or expenses are incurred.

7. A(n) ______ clause ensures that the remainder of the contract is enforceable even if one part of the contract is determined to be invalid.

8. A(n) ______ clause is a clause that states that any legal disputes are to be resolved through arbitration rather than litigation.

9. A(n) ______ allows the non-breaching party to recover damages in the event that actual damages are difficult to calculate.

10. A(n) ______ clause sets forth when, and under which circumstances, the contract may be ended.

(f) Provide antonyms for the following adjectives:

1. Enforceable /	_ contract
2. Implied /	_ contract
3. Binding /	_ contract
4. Valid /	_ contract
5. Exclusive /	_ contract
6. Implicit /	_ contract
7. Public /	_contract
8. Complete /	_ contract
9. Initial /	contract
10. Formal /	_ contract

11. Confusing terms

[Source: adapted from R. Haigh, Legal English.2012]

Read the explanations about the differences between the following terms and fill in the gaps.

(a) Assign and transfer: an *assignment* is the transfer of a right, title, task, duty or interest (i.e., something intangible) under an agreement. *Transfer* refers to tangible property, such as land, or to the sale of assets of a company. According to other sources (*http://www.buray.net/2012/08/differencebetweentransferassignment.html*), although 'transfer' is a common word for passing anything from one to another, it is frequently used for liabilities only (debts, outstanding amounts, obligations, fines etc.), while 'assignment' would be used for assets (interest, titles, benefits etc.).

1. An ______ is the transfer of a property right or interest under an agreement to some particular person.

2. Property of any kind may be ______, except as otherwise provided by this Act or by any other law for the time being in force.

(b) Breach, infringement and violation: *breach* is used for duties, contractual relations, obligations or security, while *infringement* is used in relation to the violation of rights (particularly intelectual property rights such as patents, trademarks or copyrights). *Violation* is used both for law and rights (particularly human rights) as well as for contracts.

1. In the eyes of the law, a party's failure to fulfill an end of the bargain under a contract is known as a ______ of the contract.

2. Intellectual property rights allow artists to protect themselves from ______, or the unauthorized use and misuse of their creations.

3. The Human Rights Council may request that the Security Council take action when human rights ______ occur.

4. A ______ by a trustee of a duty the trustee owes to a beneficiary is a ______ of trust.

5. The U.S. Congress has continually expanded and strengthened criminal laws for ______ of intellectual property rights.

6. Copyright ______ occurs when a third party uses copyrighted material in such a way that it violates the right to perform, publicly display,

distribute, reproduce and adapt a copyrighted work, without the permission of the copyright holder.

(c) Clause, provision, condition, term and article: *clause* is a self-contained concept within a contract; ideally it can be read and acted on on its own. A *provision* is any condition or stipulation of things to be done or not be done within the contract (it may correspond with a clause or be contained in a subclause). Verbal contracts have provisions, not clauses. A *condition* is a set of circumstances that must arise before another action can occur, for example, there may be conditions that must be satisfied before payment is made. A *term* is a provision in the contract for which one of the possible remedies for breach is termination of the contract by the innocent party. *Article* is more often used in legislation, particularly EU legislation.

1. Specific rules can be complementary but should derogate from the corresponding ________ in this Regulation only where such derogation is specifically provided for in this Regulation.

2. Each ______ in a contract addresses a specific aspect related to the overall subject matter of the agreement.

3. Hearsay evidence is admissible in criminal proceedings only if the 2003 Act or any other statutory ______ makes it admisible.

4. ______7 of the European Convention on Human Rights states that no one shall be held guilty of any criminal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a criminal offence under national or international law at the time when it was committed.

5. ______ and conditions for copying, distribution and modification and included on this webpage.

6. Contracts will typically include a ______ requiring the parties to protect each other's confidential information.

7. Parties to a contract are bound only by its _____, not by other statements.

8. Contract ______ and _____ must be available in the language of the consumer.

(d) Agreement and contract: An *agreement* is any understanding or arrangement between two or more parties. A *contract* is a legally binding and enforceable agreement

which must contain several elements (offer and acceptance, mutual consent, consideration, etc.). Every contract is an agreement but every agreement need not be a contract.

1. Your access to this web site signifies your consent to and ______ with the terms contained herein.

2. These obligations and restrictions of confidentiality shall be effective during the term of the ______, including any extension thereof, and, unless otherwise provided, shall remain effective.

(e) Obligation, responsibility, accountability, liability: *obligation* is used to refer to a specific duty under a contract or legal provision. *Responsibility* is used to refer to the fact that someone has the duty to do whatever it takes to complete a task. *Accountability* is when a person is expected to take ownership of his or her actions or decisions, so he or she is answerable, i.e. is supposed to explain the outcomes of his or her actions, decisions, and omissions; it flows from bottom to top (from a subordinate to a senior). *Liability* generally refers to legal consequences, so for example breaching an obligation may lead to legal liability.

 The adoption of this provision is in fulfillment of a(n) ______ derived from a convention concerning the penalization of acts of torture.

2. No patent ______ is assumed, however, with respect to the use of the information contained herein.

(f) Undertaking and assurance: *undertaking* is used to refer to a commitment to do something and to accept the legal consequences of doing so. *Assurance* refers to a collateral promise given by a third party.

1. The complete elimination of nuclear weapons and the ______ that they will never be produced again therefore remains the only guarantee against their use.

2. At the same time, we acknowledge that, given the interests involved, controlling and regulating weapons is a very complex _____.

(g) Void, invalid and ineffective: *void* and *invalid* mean that something is not legally binding and has no legal effect, while *ineffective* refers to something which fails to achieve the required legal aim.

1. Either way, measures were needed to implement anti-fraud mechanisms that were

2. It is clear that any provisions of a contract or agreement that are contrary to the principle of equality of treatment must be rendered null and ______.

3. Situations could conceivably arise in which the acceptance of a reservation would be ______, but that would not necessarily always be the case.

12. Fill in the blanks with the appropriate term. There is a clue for you in brackets.

[Adapted from eHow, Contract Termination Terms: <u>http://www.ehow.com/list_6751730_contract-</u> <u>termination-terms.html#ixzz1Dw059crq</u>]

There are specific legal terms used to define the termination of a contract. Generally, a contract will terminate if it is completed as directed. However, in some circumstances a contract may be **(a)** ______ (*too early*) terminated. Such termination can be proper or improper. If a contract is terminated improperly and unfairly, at least one party to the contract may **(b)** ______ (*qualify for*) to damages.

(c) _____ (revoke, annul) a Contract.

If one or both of the **(d)** ______ (*sides*) to a contract rescinds that contract, the contract is terminated. For rescission to be **(e)** ______ (*allowed*), both parties must be able to return to the same position they were in before the contract was signed, as though it never existed. If either party has started to **(f)** ______ (*carry out*) its duties under the contract, neither party has the right to rescind the contract.

One party may also **(g)** ________ (*independently, on its own*) rescind a contract if he or she **(h)** _______ (*didn't have*) the capacity to sign the contract. For example, a person suffering from a mental defect lacks the necessary competence to **(i)** _______ (*sign*) into a contract. **(j)** ________--- children under the age of 18-- also lack the capacity to sign contracts. While those parties are able to **(k)** _______ (*discharge, execute*) the contract if they choose, they also have the legal right of rescission and may cancel the contract before either party has performed.

28

13. Fill in the blanks with the appropriate form of the word in brackets.

[Adapted from eHow, Contract Termination Terms: <u>http://www.ehow.com/list_6751730_contract-</u> <u>termination-terms.html#ixzz1Dw059crq</u>]

When **(a)** ______ (*perform*) is impossible.

In **(b)** _______ (contract) terms, performance is a party's legal **(c)** _______ (oblige) to undertake the duties set forth in the contract. A contract will terminate when both **(d)** _______ (party) complete their performance. However, there are some **(e)** _______ (occasionally) when performance is made **(f)** _______ (impossibility). Contract law allows parties to terminate a contract when such **(g)** _______ (not foresee) circumstances arise. For example, a person could **(h)** _______ (agreement) to pay \$5,000 in exchange for the composer and **(i)** _______ (termination). Because an original song written by a famous composer is a one-of-a-kind item, impossible performance arises when the composer dies.

A breach of contract occurs when: (1) one party (k) __________ (violation) the terms of the contract, (2) one party (l) ___________ (failure) to perform his required obligation or (3) prevents the other party from properly performing. In order to avoid dissatisfied customers (m) __________ (claim) breach of contract when they do not like the other party's work, "(n) __________ (substance) performance" limits a party's right to (o) __________ (recovery) damages for breach. For example, if a person hires someone to paint his house and is unhappy with the shade of paint after it dries, the painter has "substantially performed" and cannot be subject to a breach of contract lawsuit. However, when a breach of contract lawsuit is appropriate, damages can be (p) _________ (award) in several ways. Some contracts include a "(q) ________ (liquid) damages" clause, setting the (r) ________ (money) amount to be paid by the (s) ________ (breach) party to the other.

14. Company law terminology

Give the terms for the following definitions.

1	Wing-up (liquidation)	Winding up is the process of dissolving a business by selling all the assets of a business, paying off creditors and distributing any remaining assets to the partners or shareholders.
2	A	An insolvency practitioner who is appointed to try and save a company from being wound up.
3	Annual a/report	A yearly (sometimes published) record of the company's operations and financial situation that is be produced each year.
4	Annual G M (AGM)	An annual meeting of the general membership of an organization, in the case of a company, shareholders.
5	L capital	The part of a company's capital employed that is not equity capital, that earns a fixed rate of interest instead of dividends, and which must be repaid within a specified period, irrespective of the company's financial position.
6	M shareholder	A person or entity who owns sufficient shares in a company to influence the decision-taking.
7	Independent aor	A member of a recognized body or regulatory authority of accounting and auditing (for example, a CPA or certified public accountant; a CA or chartered accountant) who examines the financial records, business transactions and annual accounts of a company.
8	bsy	A daughter company that is owned or controlled by another company, which is called the parent company.
9	As	An item of economic value that is expected to yield a benefit to the owning entity in future periods.
10	Ales of assion (UK)/inion (USA)	A document that specifies the regulations for a company's operations, defines the company's purpose and lays out how tasks are to be accomplished.
11	ity	A company's legal debts or obligations that arise during the course of business operations; a sum of money owed, a debt (e.g. the assets and of the company).
12	capital	The amount of money invested by the company's owners in exchange for shares of ownership.
13	holder (UK)/holder (USA)	Any person, company or other institution that owns at least one share of a company's stock.
14	O resolution	A decision passed by the shareholders of a company by a simple or bare majority.
15	S ter	A form of business in which one person owns all the assets of the business, in contrast to a partnership or a corporation.
16	ship	The relationship between persons carrying on a business in common with a view to profit.

4-		The second terms of herein and second sector disection for the
17	course of business	The usual type of business conducted by the firm.
18	Inction	Method by which individuals are voluntarily united into a new entity through the creation of an artificial, intangible, and legal person.
19	M director/Ch Exve Off_ er	A director in charge of the management of a company.
20	Ient company	When a company is unable to meet debts or discharge liabilities.
21	J and s liability	When partners may be collectively and individually liable.
22	Li	Person appointed to supervise the winding up of a company.
23	end	A share of the after-tax profit of a company, distributed to its shareholders according to the number and class of shares held by them.
24	cy	Legal procedure for liquidating a business (or property owned by an individual) which cannot fully pay its debts out of its current assets.
25	Bof ors	A group of individuals legally responsible to govern a company and responsible to the shareholders and other relevant stakeholders.
26	Bd	A debt investment in which an investor loans money to an entity (typically corporate or governmental) which borrows the funds for a defined period of time at a variable or fixed interest rate.
2 7	Cl	The net worth of a company: money, property and any other assets.
28	Dure	Long-term debt instrument that is not secured by a mortgage or other lien on specific property. Because it is unsecured debt, it is issued usually by large, financially strong companies with excellent bond ratings.
29	Sties	Stocks, shares, debentures, bonds or any other rights to receive dividends or interest.

15. Council Regulation 1215/2012 (Brussels Ia): most frequent terms

administration of justice application of bilateral convention arbitral award arbitration agreement area of freedom authentic instrument cause of action choice-of- court choice-of-court agreement circulation of judgment Civil and commercial matter civil claim civil matter civil or commercial matter commercial matter commencute competent enforcement authority concern matter concurrent proceeding court of origin court settlement cultural object date of entry declaration of enforceability declaration of enforceability be default of appearance defendant be employment contract enforcement of judgment enforcement proceeding equivalent document equivalent effect exclusive choice-of-court exclusive choice-of-court agreement exclusive jurisdiction first enforcement measure first subparagraph free circulation free circulation of judgment general lis pendens rule ground of jurisdiction harmful event immovable property incidental question individual contract of employment injured party insurance contract Interested party internal law irreconcilable judgment judicial cooperation 1 o legal person legislative act lis pendens maintenance obligation national law national rule natural or legal person natural person O n official language operation of ship ordinary appeal ordre public Original Claim particular matter place of performance postal address proper administration of justice protective measure public policy purpose of enforcement reasonable time refusal of enforcement refusal of recognition related action respect of liability insurance risk of irreconcilable judgment rule of jurisdiction rule of private international law se sale of good

(a) Pronounce the following <u>terms</u> from Regulation 1215/2012 (British English pronunciation):

- 1. incorporation
- 2. employee
- 3. domicile
- 4. proceedings
- 5. court
- 6. regulation
- 7. policyholder
- 8. formality
- 9. defendant
- 10. insured
- 11. refusal
- 12. legalisation
- 13. instrument
- 14. convention
- 15. matter
- 16. prejudice
- 17. arbitration
- 18. beneficiary
- 19. institute
- 20.section

- 21. contract
- 22. provision
- 23. sue
- 24.law
- 25. certificate
- 26.to contest
- 27. notify
- 28. action
- 29. obligation
- 30.situate
- 31. dispute
- 32. appeal
- 33. tribunal
- 34. authenticity
- 35. applicant
- 36. liability
- 37. proportionality
- 38. provisional
- 39. protective
- 40.supersede
- 41. evidence
- 42.seek
- 43. claimant
- 44.order
- 45. property
- 46.litigation
- 47. substance
- 48.commerce
- 49. appearance
- 50.territory
- 51. consumer

(b) Pronounce the following <u>word combinations</u> from Regulation 1215/2012 (*British English pronunciation*):

- 1. direct enforcement
- 2. insurance contract
- 3. effect service

- 4. separate proceedings
- 5. of its own motion
- 6. habitually resident
- 7. date of receipt
- 8. alternative grounds of jurisdiction
- 9. exercise jurisdiction
- 10. proposal for amendment
- 11. debtor protection rule
- 12. sufficient time
- 13. commence proceedings
- 14. beneficiary of an insurance contract
- 15. judgment
- 16. to summon to appear
- 17. proof of service
- 18. official language
- 19. to issue a certificate
- 20.to contest enforcement
- 21. contrary to public policy
- 22. to dismiss the proceedings
- 23. recoverable costs
- 24. calculation of interest
- 25. to challenge a judgment
- 26. cause of action
- 27. to discontinue proceedings
- 28.judgment capable of recognition
- 29. to serve a document
- 30.to stay proceedings
- 31. at first instance
- 32. to lodge a document
- 33. declaration of enforceability
- 34. to decline jurisdiction
- 35. in default of appearance
- 36. enforcement of judgment
- 37. authentic instrument
- 38. exclusive jurisdiction
- 39. refusal of enforcement
- 40.refusal of recognition

41. incidental question

- 42. Member State
- 43. outcome of proceedings
- 44.to seek enforcement
- 45. to suspend the proceedings
- 46.to join proceedings
- 47. principal place of business
- 48.court settlement
- 49. immovable property
- 50.legal person
- 51. protective measure
- 52. provisional measure
- 53. substance of a matter
- 54. irreconcilable judgment
- 55. original claim
- 56. injured party
- 57. finding of fact
- 58.to lodge an appeal
- 59. to contest an appeal
- 60.ordinary appeal
- 61. enforceable obligation
- 62. arbitration agreement
- 63. choice of court agreement
- 64. competent enforcement authority
- 65. cause of action
- 66. maintenance obligation
- 67. reasonable time

16. Listening activity.

(a) Listen to this judgment and fill in the gaps.

In the matter of "The Alexandros T" [2013] UKSC 70 On appeal from [2012] EWCA Civ 1714

1	In the matter of "The Alexandros T"
2	Lord Clarke will explain the (1) of the court.
3 4 5 6 7	On the 3rd of May 2006 the vessel Alexandros T sank and became a total loss about 300 miles south of Port Elisabeth. Her owners, Starlight, made a claim against their insurers, who denied liability on the basis that the vessel was (2) with the privity of the owners. In response, Starlight made a number of serious allegations against their insurers, including allegations of (3) involving (4) and
8	bribing witnesses.
9 0 1 2	In August 2006 Starlight (5) proceedings in the Commercial Court against various insurers known as the CMI and the LMI. Those proceedings were (6) on the basis that the insurers would pay a hundred percent of what was due under the policies, but without interest or costs.
3	The proceedings were then (7) by what is called a Tomlin Order. In April
4 5 5 7 8	2011 nine sets of Greek proceedings in materially identical form were issued by Starlight making essentially the same allegations of fact as they had been making in England although they were expressed as (8) in Greece. The insurers thereafter (9) to enforce the earlier settlement agreements in the 2006 and later 2011 proceedings in England.
))	
	Starlight applied for a stay of these proceedings, firstly (10) to Article 28 then pursuant to Article 27 of the Brussels Regulation. The judge refused to exercise his (11) to grant the stay under Article 28 and gave summary judgment for the insurers.
	The Court of Appeal held that it was (12) to stay the 2006 proceedings under Article 27, which provides for a (13) stay, and that it was not therefore necessary to reach a final determination of the position under Article 28.
	Before the Supreme Court, the insurers challenge the (14) of the Court of Appeal's conclusion under Article 27 and submit that the judge was correct to refuse a stay under article 28. Starlight cross-appeal on the Article 28 point. Subject to the possibility of a reference to the CJEU on a limited question or questions, the Supreme Court (15) allows the insurers' appeal.
	I give the (16) , with which Lord Sumption and Lord Hughes agree. Lord Neuberger also agrees, adding a short judgment of his own. Lord Mance agrees in the (17) but not with all of the reasoning.
	Very shortly the reasons are these.
	Article 27 must be (18) in its context. The purpose of Article 27 is to prevent the courts of two member states from giving inconsistent judgments and to (19) so far as possible the non-recognition of a judgment on the ground that it is (20) with the judgment given by the court of another Member State.
)	In each set of proceedings the question under Article 27 is whether they involve the same (21) of (22) The CJEU has held that it is

	nether each claim has <i>la même objet et la même cause</i> . In answering the chaction must be (23)	hat question the
thus legally irreconcilable.	s whether the claims in England in Greece are mirror images of e. There are three heads of claim in England: (24)	,
of the causes of action re with the insurers' claims claims is different, the G	and and and and and	lentity of object
indemnified in respect o	the Greek proceedings is to establish a liability under C to tort, whereas the object to the insurers' claim is to establ of such a liability. The same is true of the insurers' claims in 7) in the settlement agreements and/or in	ish a right to be respect to the
The causes of action base the settlement agreement have the same object.	ed upon, an (28)	of ce, <u>nor do they</u>
settlement agreements. T claims. The legal and (30) are entirely different. Mo	of the claims based on the release (29)	are contractual r the two claims ne Court is (31)
	he insurance claim declarations based upon the release provision reaches the same conclusion, Lord Mance reaches a different s for declarations in the two jurisdictions are (33) of each other.	nt conclusion on
their claims for declarati Article 27 are (36) appeal will also be allowe	eme Court decides unanimously that unless the those claims with declarations, a relevant question s to the CJEU for an opinion. In the event, the CMI have a tions based on the release provisions, it follows that the CMI's If the LMI do the same within the time ed under Article 27. If they do not, their appeal under Article 27 	should be (35) now abandoned s appeals under
A similar position has b under Article 27 should h As to Starlight's cross-app the Supreme Court unan the issues raised by the C questions governed by E	been reached in respect to the (38) by the Court of Appeal from the order of the judge and Article 28, in the exercise nimously dismisses it. The (40) co CMI and the LMI is the High Court in England because they reaction is at least (41) they should be decided by the High Court where the proceedings	caise contractual that the
In these circumstances I	do not think that I should take up time this morning on a fascin	ating discussion
either of the (42) issues (43)	first seised under the Regulation or of a by this interesting and difficult case.	any of the other

(b) Look at the structure on line 57:

"The causes of action based upon, an alleged breach of the settlement agreements, are not the same causes of action as are advanced in Greece, **nor do they have the same object**."

- "NOR DO THEY HAVE THE SAME OBJECT": this structure is called 'inversion'. In this kind of structure the order is reversed and the verb phrase or the operator comes before the subject (*Only once have I been in court*). Verbs that are not modal verbs or that are not the verbs to be/to have place the operator before the verb, as in a question (*At no time did defence lawyer interview the witness; Only then did the claimant react to the submissions by the defence* lawyer).In legal English you will find inversion in the following cases²:

1. As a conditional clause (instead of an *if*-clause):

Had the prosecutor been aware of the existence of a witness...

Should the court issue judgment in the afternoon...

Were the judge to dismiss the case...

- 2. In subject-operator inversion, in the following cases:
 - a) Degree expressions with *so* and *such*.

So anxious was Mary about being summoned to court that she could hardly breathe for a while.

Such was the relevance of the new case that the judge had to adjourn another case before him.

b) Negative and restrictive opening elements: *neither, nor, never, nowhere, on no condition, not only, hardly, no sooner, rarely, seldom, little, less, only,* etc.

Rarely have I seen contempt of court in all my years of practice.

Little did the defendant know that new evidence was about to be produced.

'I wasn't aware that it was the right moment for submissions.' 'Nor was I'.

Now do inversion in the following sentences:

1. The court first seised has not claimed that it lacks jurisdiction.

Northe court second seised.

2. Institutions must never violate vulnerable people's rights.

Never

3. National courts have rarely provided legal aid in such cases.

Rarely

4. There has seldom been so much publicity about a ruling issued by the CJEU.

Seldom

5. Some EU countries will never support same-sex marriages.

Never

6. Claimants must never show lack of respect for the judge during hearings.

On no account

² In general English you may have seen it in formulaic expressions such as *So help me God; Long live the Queen, etc.*

(c) Read the following summary of the case from the previous exercise and answer the questions that follow it.



6 November 2013

In the matter of "The Alexandros T" [2013] UKSC 70 On appeal from [2012] EWCA Civ 1714

JUSTICES: Lord Neuberger (President), Lord Mance, Lord Clarke, Lord Sumption and Lord Hughes

1 BACKGROUND TO THE APPEALS

2 On 3 May 2006, the vessel Alexandros T sank and became a total loss 300 miles south of Port

3 Elizabeth with considerable loss of life. Her owners were Starlight Shipping Company ("Starlight").

4 Starlight made a claim against their insurers, who denied liability on the basis that the vessel was

5 unseaworthy with the privity of Starlight. In response, Starlight made a number of serious allegations

6 against their insurers including allegations of misconduct involving tampering with and bribing of

7 witnesses.

8 On 15 August 2006, Starlight issued proceedings in the Commercial Court against various insurers

9 ("the 2006 proceedings"). One group of insurers was described as the Company Market Insurers 10 ("CMI") and the other group was described as the Lloyd's Market Insurers ("LMI"). Before the 11 hearing, the 2006 proceedings were settled between Starlight and the insurers and the proceedings 12 were stayed by way of a Tomlin Order.

In April 2011, nine sets of Greek proceedings, in materially identical form, were issued by Starlight
 although they were expressed as torts actionable in Greece. The insurers sought to enforce the

although they were expressed as torts actionable in Greece. The insurers sought to enforce the
earlier settlement agreements. Starlight applied for a stay of these proceedings, firstly pursuant to
Article 28 then Article 27 of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 ("the Regulation")

17 The judge refused to grant a stay under Article 28 and gave summary judgment to the insurers. The 18 Court of Appeal held that it was bound to stay the 2006 proceedings under Article 27, which provides

for a mandatory stay, and it was not therefore necessary to reach a final determination of the position

20 under Article 28. Before the Supreme Court, the insurers challenge the correctness of the Court of

21 Appeal's conclusion under Article 27 and submit that the judge was correct to refuse a stay under

22 Article 28. Starlight cross-appeal on the Article 28 point.

23 JUDGMENT

- 24 Subject to the possibility of a reference to the CJEU on some limited questions, the Supreme Court
- 25 unanimously allows the CMI's and LMI's appeal. Lord Clarke gives the lead judgment, with which

26 Lord Sumption and Lord Hughes agree. Lord Neuberger agrees adding a short judgment of his own.

27 Lord Mance agrees with the result.

28 REASONS FOR THE JUDGMENT

29 *Article 27*

30 Article 27 must be construed in its context. The purpose of Article 27 is to prevent the courts of two

- 31 Member States from giving inconsistent judgments and to preclude, so far as possible, the non-
- 32 recognition of a judgment on the ground that it is irreconcilable with a judgment given by the court

of another Member State **[23, 27]**.

In the case of each cause of action relied upon, it is necessary to consider whether the same cause of action is being relied upon in the Greek proceedings. In doing so, the defences advanced in each action must be disregarded **[29]**. The essential question is whether the claims in England and Greece are mirror images of each other and thus legally irreconcilable **[30]**. There are three heads of claim in England: indemnity, exclusive jurisdiction and release **[32]**.

None of the causes of action relied upon in the Greek proceedings has identity of cause or identity of object with the CMI's claim for an indemnity. The subject matter of the claims is different. The Greek proceedings are claims in tort (or its Greek equivalent) and the claims in England are claims in contract. As to object, that of the Greek proceedings is to establish a liability under Greek law akin to tort, whereas the object of the CMI's claim is to establish a right to be indemnified in respect of such a liability and to claim damages for breach of the exclusive jurisdiction clauses [34].

The same is true of the CMI's claims in respect of the exclusive jurisdiction clauses in the settlement agreement and/or in the insurance policies **[36]**. The causes of action, based upon an alleged breach of the settlement agreement, are not the same causes of action as are advanced in Greece **[37]**.

48 The same is also true of the claims based on the release provisions in the CMI settlement agreement

49 [40]. The Greek claims are claims in tort and the English proceedings are contractual claims. The

50 factual bases for the two claims are entirely different. Moreover, the object of the two claims is

51 different [41]. The Supreme Court is unanimous that that is the position with regard to the claims 52 for damages for breach of the release provisions in the settlement agreements. However, in so far as

the insurers claim declarations, while the majority reaches the same conclusion, Lord Mance reaches a different conclusion on the basis that the claims for declarations in the two jurisdictions are mirror images of each other. The court unanimously decides that, unless the insurers abandon those claims

56 for declarations, the relevant question should be referred to the CIEU for an opinion [59].

57 In the event, the CMI have now abandoned their claims for declarations based on the release 58 provisions and it is not necessary to refer the question to the CJEU. It follows that the CMI's appeals 59 under Article 27 are allowed. The position of the LMI is essentially the same as in the case of the

60 CMI [55]. If the LMI do the same within the time permitted, their appeals will also be allowed under

61 Article 27. A similar position has been reached in respect of LMI's submission that the appeals under

- 62 Article 27 should have been rejected by the Court of Appeal as being too late **[123]**.
- 63 Article 28

64 The discretion to stay claims under Article 28 is limited to any court other than the court first seised 65 [74]. On the assumption that the English court is second seised for the purposes of Article 28, the 66 question arises whether the actions should be stayed as a matter of discretion [91]. The circumstances 67 of each case are of particular importance but the aim of Article 28 is to avoid parallel proceedings and conflicting decisions. In a case of doubt it would be appropriate to grant a stay [92]. However, the 68 69 natural court to consider the issues raised by CMI and LMI is the High Court in England because 70 they raise contractual questions governed by English law and because it is at least arguable that the 71 parties have agreed that they should be decided by the High Court, where the proceedings are more 72 advanced than in Greece [96]. The decision of the judge in refusing a stay under Article 28 is upheld 73 and the cross-appeal is dismissed [97, 125].

References in square brackets are to paragraphs in the judgment

<u>NOTE</u>

This summary is provided to assist in understanding the Court's decision. It does not form part of the reasons for the decision. The full judgment of the Court is the only authoritative document. Judgments are public documents and are available at: www.supremecourt.gov.uk/decided-cases/index.html

Answer the following questions:

- 1. On what basis did the insurers deny liability?
- 2. What do you think a "privity of contract" is? Can you look it up?
- 3. What was Starlight's response to the insurers when they denied liability?
- 4. Before the hearing, the 2006 proceedings were settled between Starlight and the insurers. How were the proceedings stayed then?
- 5. How many proceedings were issued by Starlight in April 2011 and what form did they have?
- 6. What do the insurers submit before the Supreme Court?
- 7. What do the insurers challenge before the Supreme Court and on what basis?
- 8. What is the basis of Starlight's cross-appeal?
- 9. What is the judgment of the court?
- 10. How many judges agree and how many disagree?
- 11. What is the purpose of Article 27?
- 12. What is the essential question to be decided on the claims in England and Greece?
- 13. What are the three heads of claim in England?

14. What is the subject matter and the object of the claim in England and in Greece?

15. When should a question be referred to the CJEU for an opinion?

16. What is the limitation of the discretion to stay claims under Article 28?

17. What is the aim of Article 28?

- 18. What is the natural court to consider the issues raised by CMI and LMI and why?
- 19. What is the decision of the court as regards the outcome of the case in the Court of Appeal?

17. Reading exercise.

(a) Read the following summary of the case (press release) on Olivier Martinez and Robert Martinez v MGN Limited.

Court of Justice of the European Union

PRESS RELEASE No. 115/11

Luxembourg, 25 October 2011

Judgment in Joined Cases C-509/09 and C-161/10

eDate Advertising GmbH v X and Olivier Martinez and Robert Martinez v MGN Limited

Victims of infringements of personality rights by means of the internet may bring actions before the courts of the Member State in which they reside in respect of all of the damage caused.

However, the operator of an internet website covered by the e-commerce directive cannot be made subject, in that State, to stricter requirements than those provided for by the law of the Member State in which it is established

1 The Brussels Regulation¹ provides that persons domiciled in a Member State are, in principle, 2 3 to be sued before the courts of that State. However, in matters relating to tort, delict or quasidelict, a person may also be sued in another Member State before the courts for the place 4 where the harmful event occurred or may occur. Thus, in the case of defamation by means of 5 a written newspaper article distributed in several Member States, the victim has two options 6 for bringing an action for compensation against the publisher. On the one hand, he may bring 7 an action before the courts of the State in which that publisher is established, which have 8 jurisdiction to award damages for all of the harm caused by the defamation. On the other 9 hand, he may bring an action before the courts of each Member State in which the 10 publication was distributed and where he claims to have suffered injury to his reputation 11 (place in which the damage occurred). In the latter case, however, the national courts have 12 jurisdiction only in respect of damage caused in the State in which they are located.

13 Facts of Case C-161/10

14 On 3 February 2008 a text written in English and entitled 'Kylie Minogue is back with Olivier 15 Martinez' appeared on the website of the British newspaper the Sunday Mirror, with details 16 of the meeting between the Australian singer and the French actor. The latter and his father, 17 Robert Martinez, alleged interference with their private lives and infringement of the right of 18 Olivier Martinez to his image and brought an action, in France, against the British company 19 MGN, which publishes the Sunday Mirror. MGN (...) challenges the international 20 jurisdiction of the court before which the action has been brought, arguing that there is no 21 sufficiently close connecting factor between the placing online of the information in the 22 United Kingdom and the alleged damage in French territory. Such a link alone, it argues, 23 could establish the jurisdiction of the French courts to rule on the facts giving rise to damage 24 and attributable to the placing of the material at issue online.

 ¹Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement
 of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ 2001 L 12, p. 1).

(b) Fill in the blanks with the correct preposition. Each of them may be used more than once.

[This exercise is based on the previous case. The names of the parties and reference to countries have been changed]

about, against, by, for, from, in, of, on, over, to, with

1) The publisher took its claim ______ Europe's highest court and argued that an Italian judge did not have the power to adjudicate on English-language articles published ______ a UK website.

2) Smith sued *The Globe* newspapers successfully in 2002 ______ an online article about his relationship ______ a previous girlfriend.

3) The ruling in Luxembourg follows the 2002 privacy action brought _____ Italy by Smith _____ *The Globe*.

4) The Court added that under the EU e-commerce directive, which governs all online services, the publisher of an internet article should not be subject ______ "stricter requirements" relating ______ "personality rights" than they would face in their own country.

5) (...) universal distribution, firstly, is liable ______ increase the seriousness of the infringements ______ personality rights.

6) *The Globe* was ordered to pay €3,400 _____ damages _____ each article published.

7) (...) European publishers can be sued anywhere in the EU _____ articles published _____ the internet.

8) Smith won damages ______ *The Globe* after he claimed that the newspaper's stories ______ his relationship with his previous girlfriend affected his reputation negatively in Italy.

9) (...) the court holds that the placing online of content ______ an internet website is to be distinguished ______ the regional distribution of printed matter by reason of the fact that it can be consulted instantly ______ an indefinite number of internet users worldwide.

10) The case went to the CJEU for a jurisdiction ruling after *The Globe* argued that the Italian courts did not have the power to pass judgment ______ articles published ______ *The Globe* website.

11) Victims ______ intrusive online material will now be able to sue ______ their country of residence, as opposed ______ the EU member state of the publisher.

12) Victims can also sue in any EU member state where the online article has been accessed ______ readers and in the state where the publisher is based "in respect ______ all the damage caused", the CJEU said.

(c) Now choose the correct option(s) below.

Judgment of the Court

In its (1) delivered today, the Court (2) that the placing online of content on an internet website (3) the regional distribution of printed matter by reason of the fact that it can be consulted instantly by an (4) number of internet users world-wide.				
(1)	(a) finding	(b) order	(c) judgment	(d) award
(2)	(a) understands	(b) holds	(c) argues	(d) thinks
(3)	(a) is to be distingu	ushed from		
	(b) is distinguished	l of		
	(c) will be different	tiated from		
	(d) is to be distinct	off		
(4)	(a) uncertain	(b) indeterminated	(c) ilimited	(d) indefinite
Thus, universal distribution, firstly, is (5) to increase the (6) of the (7) of personality rights and, secondly, makes it extremely difficult to (8) the places in which the damage resulting from those infringements has occurred.				
(5)	(a) likely	(b) bound	(c) probably	(d) liable
(6)				
	(a) severity	(b) seriousness	(c) gravity	(d) earnestness
(7)	(a) severity (a) breaks	(b) seriousness (b) violations	(c) gravity (c) infringements	
(7) (8)	•		C I	

(9)	(a) put	(b) placed	(c) uploaded	(d) positioned
(9)	(u) put	(b) placed	(c) uplouded	(u) positioneu

(10) (a) could best be asessed

- (b) may best be assessed
- (c) might best be assessed
- (d) must better be assessed
- (11) (a) names (b) denominates (c) appoints (d) designates

In that context, the Court (12)that the place where a personhasthecentreofhisinterestscorrespondsingeneraltohis(13)(12)(a) believes(b) says(c) states(13)(a) habitual(b) usual(c) frequent(d) permanent

(14)	(a) responsibility	(b) liability	(c) accountability	(d) answerability
(15)	(a) in front of	(b) at	(c) before	(d) on

In that case, in the same way as damage caused by printed matter, those courts have (16) ________ to deal with cases only in relation to damage which (17) _______ within the territory of the State in which they are (18)

(16)	(a) competence	(b) jurisdiction	(c) power	(d) discretion
(17)	(a) occurred	(b) arised	(c) happened	(d) took place
(18)	(a) placed	(b) established	(c) settled	(d) situated

Similarly, the person whose rights have been infringed may also bring an action, **(19)** _______ all of the damage **(20)**______, before the courts of the Member State in which the publisher of the online content is established.

(19)	(a) in respect	(b) in respect of	(c) in respect to	(d) in respect off
(20)	(a) provoked	(b) created	(c) caused	(d) produced

18. Exclusive Jurisdiction.

(a) Fill in the gaps with the appropriate word. You have a near-synonym as a clue in brackets.

JURISDICTION, RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS IN CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL MATTERS

EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION IN MATTERS RELATING TO RIGHTS IN REM IN IMMOVABLE PROPERTY

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Third (1))
(SECTION, DIVISION)	

3 October 2013

(Jurisdiction and recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters – Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 – Scope – Legal (2) (CAPABILITY; ABILITY) of natural persons – (3) (UNIQUE; FULL; SOLE) jurisdiction in matters relating to rights in rem in (4) (STATIONARY, FIXED) property – Scope – Non-contentious proceedings concerning the right of a person who has been placed under (5) (TUTORSHIP, PROTECTION, CARE) and is domiciled in a Member State to dispose of immovable property situated in another Member State)

In Case C-386/12,

(6) _________ (PETITION, DEMAND) for a preliminary ruling under Article 267 TFEU from the Sofiyski gradski sad (Bulgaria), made by decision of 29 June 2012, received at the Court on 13 August 2012, in the proceedings (7) ________ (STARTED, BEGAN, COMMENCED) by

Siegfried János Schneider,

THE COURT (Third Chamber),

composed of M. Ilešič, President of the Chamber, E. Jarašiūnas, A.Ó. Caoimh, C. Toader **(8)** (______) (*REPORTER, SPOKESPERSON*) and C.G. Fernlund, Judges,

Advocate General: N. Jääskinen,

Registrar: A. Calot Escobar,

having regard to the written procedure,

after considering the observations submitted **(9)** _____ (ON SOMEONE'S NAME, INSTEAD OF SOMEONE):

- the German Government, by T. Henze and J. Kemper, acting as Agents,

– the Hungarian Government, by K. Szíjjártó and Á. Szilágyi, acting as Agents,

- the Austrian Government, by A. Posch, acting as Agent,

- the United Kingdom Government, by A. Robinson, acting as Agent,

- the European Commission, by V. Savov and M. Wilderspin, acting as Agents,

having decided, after hearing the Advocate General, to **(10)** ______ (GO AHEAD, ADVANCE, CONTINUE) to judgment without an Opinion,

gives the following

Judgment

- This request for a preliminary ruling (11) ______ (IS ABOUT, INVOLVES) the interpretation of Article 22(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ 2001 L 12, p.1).

(b) Fill in the gaps with the appropriate form of the word in brackets.

The dispute in the main proceedings and the question (1) ______ (*REFERENCE*) for a preliminary ruling

- 8. (2) ______ (*PURSUE*) to Hungarian legislation, a Hungarian court placed Mr. Schneider under guardianship and, for those purposes, (3) ______ (*APPOINTMENT*) as legal representative and (4) ______ (*OFFICE*) guardian for him a person who is also a Hungarian (5) ______ (*NATION*).
- 9. Following the **(6)** (*DIE*) of his mother on 17 June 2009, Mr Schneider **(7)** (*HEIR*) a half-share in an apartment in Lovech (Bulgaria); his brother owns the other half-share.
- 10. Acting with the **(8)** ______ (*APPROVE*) of his guardian, Mr. Schneider applied to the Sofiyski rayonen sad (Sofia District Court) for authorisation to sell his share of that property. In support of his **(9)** _______ (*APPLY*), he claimed that the **(10)** ______ (*SELL*) would help him to meet his individual needs in Hungary, in particular, the costs of constant healthcare and accommodation in a healthcare **(11)** _______ (*ESTABLISH*).
- 11. By **(12)** _______ (*DECIDE*) of 29 February 2012, the Sofiyski rayonen sad **(13)** _______ (*REFUSAL*) that application on the ground that disposal of the property in question was not in the interests of a person declared to be **(14)** _______ (*LACK*) full legal capacity. That court decided that Mr. Schneider's interests as an adult under guardianship would be **(15)** _______ (*ADVERSE*) affected <u>if his immovable property were to be sold</u> and the money thus obtained put into a trust fund, leaving him **(16)** _______ (*HOME*) in Hungary.
- 12. Mr. Schneider brought an **(17)** (APPEAL) against that decision before the Sofiyski gradski sad (Sofia City Court).
- 13. On the view that it is unclear from Article 22(1) of Regulation No.44/2001 whether that (18) _______ (*PROVIDE*) can be applied to non-contentious (19) _______ (*PROCEED*) such as those pending before it, the Sofiyski gradski sad decided to stay the proceedings and to refer the following question to the Court of Justice for a preliminary (20) ______ (*RULE*):

(c) Formulate, in one sentence only, the question referred to the CJEU by the Bulgarian national court using all these questions in order:

1. Is it possible to apply Article 22(1) of Regulation No 44/2001?

2. Is it possible to apply the article to contentious proceedings if they have as their object rights in rem?

3. Does the article apply to non-contentious proceedings under national law...

- if a national of an MS has been found not to have full legal capacity?

- and if a guardian who is a national has been appointed for the person who lacks capacity?

4. Does the article apply if the person who lacks legal capacity tries to dispose of immovable property which belongs to him and which is in another Member State?

Write your paragraph here:

(d) Fill in the gaps with the appropriate preposition.

The question referred (1) ______a preliminary ruling

14. By its question, the referring court asks, (2) ______ essence, whether Article 22(1) of Regulation No 44/2001 must be interpreted as applying (3) ______ non-contentious proceedings by which a national (4) ______ a Member State who has been declared to be lacking full legal capacity and placed (5) ______ guardianship in accordance (6) ______ the law of that State applies to a court in another Member State (7) ______ authorisation to sell his share of a property situated (8) ______ that other Member State.

15. All the Member States which have submitted observations (9) _______
the Court are (10) _______ the opinion, as is the European Commission, that that question calls (11) _______ a negative answer.

(...)

22. As has been argued (12) ______ all the Member States which have lodged written observations and (13) ______ the European Commission, an application such as that lodged (14) ______ the referring court does not fall (15) ______ the scope of Regulation No 44/2001.

(...)

Costs

32. Since these proceedings are, **(16)** ______ the parties to the main proceedings, a step **(17)** ______ the action pending before the national court, the decision **(18)** ______ costs is a matter for that court. Costs incurred **(19)** ______ submitting observations to the Court, other than the costs of those parties, are not recoverable.

(20) ______ those grounds, the Court (Third Chamber) hereby rules ... (...)

(e) Formulate, in one sentence only, the ruling of the court, using the following information in order:

1. Council Regulation (EC) No.44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters must be interpreted as not applying to non-contentious proceedings;

2. in particular, Article 22(1) of the Regulation is not applicable;

3. in the non-contentious proceedings a national applies to a court in another Member State for authorization;

4. the national is from of a Member State and has been declared to be lacking full legal capacity;

- the national has been placed under guardianship in accordance with the law of that State;

- the application by the national is to sell his share of a property situated in other Member State;

- the Regulation is not applicable because the proceedings are concerned with the 'legal capacity of natural persons';

- with the 'legal capacity of natural persons' must be interpreted in accordance with Article 1(2)(a) of the Regulation

- the matter falls outside the scope of the Regulation.

Write your paragraph here:

(f) If you look at line 68, you will find the following structure, which refers to a future possibility:

That court decided that Mr. Schneider's interests as an adult under guardianship would be adversely affected if his immovable property <u>were to be sold</u> and the money thus obtained put into a trust fund, leaving him homeless in Hungary

Here are some examples of use of the structure "to be to do something" in English. Please say whether they all convey the idea of "future possibility". If that is not the case, suggest another option.

- 1. At the end of the year, all students <u>are to take</u> an oral exam.
- 2. What <u>am I to say</u>?
- 3. The Prime Minister was to speak at the summit.

4. You can go to Sarah's party but you <u>are not to return</u> later than 11pm.

5. If you <u>are to work</u> in this country for longer than six months, you must have a work permit.

6. The CEO <u>was to have spoken</u> at the meeting, but his flight was late and he didn't make it.

7. A man <u>is to appear</u> in court today charged with the murder of his girlfriend.

8. If I <u>were to bring</u> my offer down from 100 euros to 80 euros, would you be interested in buying my bike then?

9. No food of any kind <u>is to be taken</u> into the examination room.

19. Jurisdiction in matters relating to insurance

(a) Read the following judgment and fill in the gaps with the appropriate preposition.

- 1 JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Third Chamber)
- 2 17 September 2009 (*)

3 (Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 – Articles 9(1)(b) and 11(2) – Jurisdiction (1)
 4 ______ matters relating to insurance – Motor accident – Statutory assignment
 5 of the rights of an injured party in favour of a social security institution – Action (2)
 6 ______ recovery against the insurer of a person allegedly liable – Objective of
 7 protecting the weaker party)

8 In Case C-347/08,

9 REFERENCE (3) ______ a preliminary ruling under Articles 68 EC and 234 EC
10 from the Landesgericht Feldkirch (Austria), made (4) ______ decision of 14 July
11 2008, received at the Court on 28 July 2008, in the proceedings

12 Vorarlberger Gebietskrankenkasse

13 v

14 WGV-Schwäbische Allgemeine Versicherungs AG,

- 15 THE COURT (Third Chamber),
- 16 (...)
- 17 having decided, after hearing the Advocate General, to proceed (5)
- 18 judgment without an Opinion,
- 19 gives the following

20 Judgment

1. This reference for a preliminary ruling concerns the interpretation of Council Regulation
(EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 (6) ______ jurisdiction and the
recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ 2001 L 12,
p. 1).

25 2. The reference has been made in the context of an action for recovery by the Vorarlberger
 26 Gebietskrankenkasse, established in Dornbirn (Austria) ('VGKK'), (7)
 27 WGV-Schwäbische Allgemeine Versicherungs AG, established in
 28 Stuttgart (Germany) ('WGV-SAV').

29 Legal context

30 (...)

31 16. On 10 March 2006, a road traffic accident occurred (8) _ a motorway in Germany, involving Ms Gaukel, the driver of a vehicle insured in Germany 32 civil liability with the WGV-SAV, and Ms Kerti, the driver of 33 (9) another vehicle. Ms Kerti had to brake suddenly because of traffic. Ms Gaukel, the driver of 34 the vehicle immediately behind, crashed (10) ______ the back of Ms Kerti's vehicle. The crash resulted (11) ______ a distortion (12) ______ 35 36 Ms Kerti's spinal column and she had to undergo various types of medical treatment. The 37 38 doctors treating her also certified her as unfit to work from 15 to 21 March 2006. VGKK, a 39 social security institution, provided benefits to its insured, Ms Kerti. 17. During the period **(13)** _____ 2 January 2006 **(14)** _____ 20 August 2007, Ms Kerti was domiciled in Bludenz (Austria). Since then, she has been 40 41 42 resident in Ubstadt-Weiher (Germany). 18. Basing itself (15) ______ the statutory assignment of Ms Kerti's rights in accordance (16) ______ Paragraph 332 of the ASVG, VGKK, by letter of 22 September 2006, applied (17) ______ payment from WGV-SAV by 24 October 2006 of the expenses which it had incurred in providing benefits to its insured. VGKK 43 44 45 46 47 claimed that the sole liability for the accident rested (18) ______ WGV-SAV's 48 insured. 19. As no payment was made, VGKK brought, on 13 February 2008, an action for recovery 49 in the Bezirksgericht Dornbirn (District Court, Dornbirn) (Austria) against WGV-SAV. 50 ______ disputing the substance of the action, WGV-SAV contended that the 51 (19) 52 Bezirksgericht Dornbirn lacked international jurisdiction to hear the action. It contended, first, that, ______ view of their origin, the rights (20) 53 dispute were those of Ms Kerti, who, (21) ______ the time of lodging the action, was resident in Germany. Second, the dispute was (22) ______ two parties of 54 55 equal status, so that the claimant did not qualify for protection under Regulation No 56 57 44/2001. 20. By order of 21 May 2008, the Bezirksgericht Dornbirn dismissed the action (23) 58 ______ the ground of lack of international jurisdiction. 59 60 21. VGKK accordingly appealed (24) ______ the Landesgericht Feldkirch (Regional Court, Feldkirch) (Austria) claiming that that court should set aside the order of 61 62 21 May 2008 and order the court of first instance to continue the proceedings. 22. The Landesgericht Feldkirch raises three arguments (25) 63 favour of the jurisdiction of the Austrian courts. First of all, in its view, VGKK should be considered 64 to be the injured party, since, following the accident, it provided benefits (26) 65 Ms Kerti. Second, (27) ______ reason of the statutory assignment pursuant (28) ______ Paragraph 332 of the ASVG, VGKK succeeded at the very moment of the accident to all of Ms Kerti's rights. Having assumed 66 67 68 the legal position of its insured, it is that person's rights and not its own which VGKK 69 70 claims in its action. Third, in the case of serious accidents involving personal injury, the directly injured party retains his right (29) _____ damages (30) _____ damages (30) _____ property. 71 72

He can sue for that damage (32) ______ the court of his place of domicile. Rights 73 to reimbursement of the costs of medical treatment and any pension benefits will, in that 74 ______ the social security institution. If that institution 75 case, be transferred (33) were unable to avail itself of the same jurisdiction, it would thus have to bring an action for 76 _____ a court of another Member State. In those recovery (34) _ 77 circumstances, courts of different Member States would deliver judgments (35) 78 79 the same facts, which would run counter (36)

80 the aims of Regulation No 44/2001, inasmuch as those courts might deliver conflicting 81 judgments.

82 23. However, according (37) _____ the national court, there are two arguments which do not support recognising the Austrian courts as having jurisdiction. 83 First, the objective of Directive 2000/26 is to protect the weaker party by making it 84 substantially simpler and easier to bring claims (38) ______ compensation in 85 the event of road accidents with a foreign element. (...) 86

24. It is in those circumstances that the Landesgericht Feldkirch decided to stay 87 proceedings and to refer the following questions (39) ______ the Court of Justice 88 (40) ______a preliminary ruling: 89

'1. Is the reference in Article 11(2) of [Regulation No 44/2001] to Article 9(1)(b) of that 90 91 regulation to be interpreted as meaning that a social security institution, to which the claims of the directly injured party have passed (41) ______ operation of law (Paragraph 332 of the ... ASVG), may bring an action directly (42) ______ the 92 93 insurer in the courts for the place in a Member State where the social security institution is 94 95 established, provided that such a direct action is permitted and the insurer is domiciled (43) ______ a Member State? 96

2. If the answer to Question 1 is (44) ______ the affirmative: 97

98

Does that jurisdiction exist even if **(45)** ______ the time of bringing the action the directly injured party is not permanently or ordinarily resident in the Member State in 99 100

which the social security institution is established?'

(b) Before reading the following excerpt from the same case, answer the following question:

Imagine you are a judge in the Chamber. What would you do if there were differences between the different language versions of some key terms in Regulation No 44/2001?

(c) Now read the text below and answer the question that comes after it:

- The questions referred for a preliminary ruling 1
- Preliminary observations 2

3 25. It should be pointed out at the outset that there are differences between the different

language versions of Article 11(2) of Regulation No 44/2001. The French version uses the 4

5 term 'victime', which, on a semantic interpretation, refers to the person who directly

suffered the damage. On the other hand, the version in German, which is the language of 6

- 7 the case, uses the term 'der Geschädigte', which means the 'injured party'. Accordingly,
- 8 that term may refer not only to persons who directly suffered the damage, but also to
- 9 persons who suffered it indirectly.

10 26. In this respect, it is settled case-law that the need for a uniform interpretation of Community law makes it impossible for the text of a provision to be considered, in case of 11 doubt, in isolation; on the contrary, it requires that it be interpreted also in the light of the 12 versions existing in the other official languages (see Case 9/79 Koschniske [1979] ECR 13 14 2717, paragraph 6; Case C-296/95 EMU Tabac and Others [1998] ECR I-1605, paragraph 15 36; and Case C-174/05 Zuid-Hollandse Milieufederatie and Natuur en Milieu [2006] ECR I-2443, paragraph 20) and by reference to the purpose and general scheme of the rules of 16 17 which that provision forms part (Case 30/77 Bouchereau [1977] ECR 1999, paragraph 14).

27. In the present case, it should be borne in mind, first, that, like the German version, 18 19 other language versions of Article 11(2) of Regulation No 44/2001 use a term equivalent to 'the injured party' (in French, 'la personne lésée'). This is true of the following language 20 21 versions: Spanish ('persona perjudicada'), Czech ('poškozený'), Danish ('skadelidte'), Estonian ('kahju kannatanud pool'), Italian ('persona lesa'), Polish ('poszkodowany'), 22 Slovak ('poškodený') and Swedish ('skadelidande'). Second, in paragraph 26 of its 23 24 judgment in FBTO Schadeverzekeringen, the Court ruled that the purpose of the reference in Article 11(2) of Regulation No 44/2001 is to add injured parties to the list of plaintiffs 25 contained in Article 9(1)(b) of that regulation, without restricting the category of persons 26 27 having suffered damage to those suffering it directly.

28 28. It follows that Article 11(2) of Regulation No 44/2001 must be interpreted as referring
29 to the injured party.

Is there a difference in your language between 'victim', 'casualty', 'injured party', 'affected party', 'aggrieved party' and 'third party'? Could you explain the differences in your own words?

(d) Now read the preliminary ruling below and say whether you agree with it or not and why.

1 On those grounds, the Court (Third Chamber) hereby rules:

The reference in Article 11(2) of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters to Article 9(1)(b) thereof must be interpreted as meaning that a social security institution, acting as the statutory assignee of the rights of the directly injured party in a motor accident, may not bring an action directly in the courts of its Member State of establishment against the insurer of the person allegedly responsible for the accident, where that insurer is established in another Member State.

(e) Paragraph 26 above says:

"In this respect, it is settled case-law that the need for a uniform interpretation of Community law makes it impossible for the text of a provision to be considered, in case of doubt, in isolation; on the contrary, <u>it requires that it be</u> <u>interpreted</u> also in the light of the versions existing in the other official languages"

This is a **subjunctive** in English. The subjunctive has practically disappeared from general English, with perhaps the only exception of very formal/very academic language³. Here are some important aspects to remember about the subjunctive:

1. It has the following structure: adjective/noun/verb + (that) + subject + the infinitive (without "to"). Do not forget that the verb does not show concordance with the subject.

It's important that *you get to court* before 10. I demanded that *he apologise*. What do you suggest *we do*?

2. In colloquial language it has been replaced by the verb in the present, in the past, or "should" (It is essential that the evidence *is* considered; The court requested that the applicant *sent* the form).

The subjunctive is used in the following cases:

1. After adjectives such as "important", "essential", "crucial", "advisable", "urgent", etc.

It is essential that the expert witness appear in court.

It is crucial that urgent measures be taken as quickly as possible.

2. After verbs such as: "ask", "advise", "command", "demand", "insist", "order", "propose", "recommend", "request", "require", "suggest", "urge".

We will recommend that she attend a formal hearing.

3. After nouns, in expressions like the following:

There is also the recommendation that the lawyers of both parties try Alternative Dispute Resolution.

There is the obligation that the judgment be enforced.

³ There are a few cases when it is used in general English (for example, fixed expressions: 'God save the Queen', 'so be it', 'God bless you', etc.). It is also occasionally seen in clauses expressing a condition, such as *If I be found guilty...* This usage is old-fashioned and excessively formal but it is still found in some common fixed expressions such as *if need be*.

The use of the subjunctive seems very awkward when it involves a passive structure, a negative structure or a continuous tense, as in the following examples:

It is *important that the solicitor be waiting* for the barrister when she arrives in court.

He insisted that Smith not be defence lawyer in the case.

They recommended *that the summons be served* promptly.

Now use the subjunctive in the following sentences:

1.	The Tribunal de Commerce de Paris ordered that			
2.	The judge ordered that			
3.	. The prosecutor suggested that (EXHIBITS ONE TO FOUR, MAKE) available to the jury.			
4.	4. Counsel recommended that defendant (CHANGE, HIS APPROACH) to the facts.			
5.	It was requested that (THE PARTIES, BE) present in the hearing.			
6.	It is essential that witnesses (BE) available in the premises of the court at all times.			
7.	The judge requested that the jury (LEAVE) the courtroom for a few minutes.			
8.	8. There is also the requirement on the vendor's part that advance payments (MAKE) before the end of the period during which the purchaser may withdraw without giving reasons.			
9.	9. The Commission may request that standards (DRAW UP) by the European standards organisations.			
10. In the event of a withdrawal, an order that a party				
11.	The President of the Fourth Chamber has ordered that the case (REMOVE) from the register.			

20. Lis pendens, prorogation of jurisdiction and establishment of jurisdiction

(a) Summarise each of the paragraphs in italics in your own words, using the terminology that has been underlined. For all the other terminology that is not underlined, you will need to use synonyms or different word categories (e.g. law-legal), but you cannot use exactly the same word. Names of parties, of companies and of people as well as dates and places are not underlined because you are free to use them.

1 JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Third Chamber)

- 2 27 February 2014
- 3 (Request for a preliminary ruling Judicial cooperation in civil matters Regulation (EC)
- 4 No 44/2001 Article 27(2) Lis pendens Article 24 Prorogation of jurisdiction -
- 5 Establishment of jurisdiction of the court first seised by reason of appearance being
- 6 entered without objection by the parties or the adoption of a final judgment)
- 7 In Case C-1/13,
- 8 REQUEST for a preliminary ruling under Article 267 TFEU from the Cour de cassation
- 9 (France), made by decision of 19 December 2012, received at the Court on 2 January 2013,
 10 in the proceedings
- 11 Cartier parfums lunettes SAS,
- 12 Axa Corporate Solutions assurances SA
- 13 v
- 14 Ziegler France SA,
- 15 Montgomery Transports SARL,
- 16 **Inko Trade s. r. o.**,
- 17 Jaroslav Matěja,
- 18 Groupama Transport,
- 19 THE COURT (Third Chamber),
- 20 (...)
- having decided, after hearing the Advocate General, to proceed to judgment without anOpinion,
- 23 gives the following

24 Judgment

1 This request for a preliminary ruling concerns the interpretation of Article 27(2) of
Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the
recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (OJ 2001 L 12,
p. 1).

2 The <u>request</u> has been made in proceedings between Cartier parfums – lunettes SAS
('Cartier') and Axa Corporate Solutions assurances SA ('Axa assurances') and Ziegler
France SA ('Ziegler France'), Montgomery Transports SARL ('Montgomery Transports'),
Inko Trade s. r. o. ('Inko Trade'), Jaroslav Matěja and Groupama Transport, concerning
<u>compensation</u> for <u>damage</u> sustained by Cartier and Axa assurances as a result of the
<u>theft</u> of goods during an <u>international transport</u> of goods by <u>road</u>.

35 (...)

36 Dispute in the main proceedings and the question referred for a preliminary 37 ruling

38 10 Cartier entrusted the <u>transport</u> by <u>road</u> of <u>cosmetic products</u> to Ziegler France 39 between Genas (France) and Wickford (United Kingdom). Ziegler France subcontracted 40 the transport of those goods to Montgomery Transports, which itself <u>subcontracted</u> that 41 service to Inko Trade which was, in turn, replaced by Jaroslav Matěja.

42 11 Jaroslav Matěja took charge of the goods at the warehouses of Saflog in Genas on 25 43 September 2007. During the night of 26 to 27 September 2007, at 00.30, in accordance 44 with the legislation in force concerning the length of driving times, the driver stopped to 45 rest at a service station in the United Kingdom. The next morning he noticed that part of 46 the consignment of goods had been stolen. The damage was estimated by Cartier's 47 insurance company, Axa assurances, at EUR 145 176.08. Axa assurances paid Cartier EUR 48 144 176.08 by way of compensation.

12 On 24 September 2008, Cartier and Axa assurances brought an action before the
Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing (France) (Commercial Court, RoubaixTourcoing) against Ziegler France, Montgomery Transports, Inko Trade and Jaroslav
Matěja seeking an <u>order</u> that they should be jointly and severally liable for payment of
the sum of EUR 145 176.08.

- 54 13 Subsequently, a series of guarantee claims were brought before the same court by the 55 carriers in which their respective insurers intervened.
- 56 *14 The Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing <u>ordered</u> all the proceedings to be 57 joined.*
- 15 At the hearing on 28 October 2010, Ziegler France raised a plea of lis pendens, based 58 59 on Article 27 of Regulation No 44/2001, on the ground that it had previously brought an 60 action before the High Court of Justice (England and Wales), Queen's Bench Division (London Mercantile Court), United Kingdom, by document of 16 September 2008. As is 61 62 apparent from the file sent to this Court, Ziegler France lodged a claim form before the High Court of Justice against Cartier, Saflog and Wright Kerr Tyson Ltd, a company 63 incorporated under the law of England and Wales, in order to determine liability and 64 calculate the damage sustained by Cartier as a result of the theft at issue. 65

66 16 Cartier and Axa assurances claimed that that <u>plea</u> was <u>inadmissible</u> on the ground 67 that it had not been raised <u>in limine litis</u>. Prior to the hearing, Ziegler France had lodged 68 written submissions before the Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing, relating to 69 the substance of the case, although under Article 74 of the French Code of Civil Procedure 70 procedural objections must be raised before any defence on the merits, failing which such 71 an objection must be dismissed as inadmissible.

72 17 Cartier and Axa assurances also claimed that, in addition to being inadmissible, the plea 73 of lis pendens was unfounded in so far as the jurisdiction of the High Court of Justice, as 74 the court first seised, had not been established within the meaning of Article 27 of 75 Regulation No 44/2001, and the two disputes did not concern the same subjectmatter or 76 the same partice.

76 the same parties.

18 By judgment of 6 January 2011, the Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing held
that the plea of lis pendens raised by Ziegler France was well founded on the ground, in
particular, that Article 871 of the French Code of Civil Procedure allows procedural
objections to be raised orally.

81 19 In that connection, the Tribunal de commerce held that the High Court of Justice had 82 been <u>first seised</u> and that its <u>jurisdiction</u> had not been contested. Therefore, as regards the 83 dispute between Cartier and Axa assurances and Ziegler France, the Tribunal de 84 commerce held that it should decline jurisdiction pursuant to Article 27(2) of Regulation 85 No 44/2001 in favour of the High Court of Justice. As regards the other parties, the 86 Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing decided to <u>stay</u> its <u>proceedings</u> pending the 87 judgment of the High Court of Justice.

20 The Cour d'appel de Douai (Court of Appeal, Douai) (France), in its judgment of 14 88 89 April 2011, upheld the judgment of the Tribunal de commerce de Roubaix-Tourcoing, 90 holding, in particular, that in the dispute between Cartier and Axa assurances and Ziegler 91 France, the conditions for lis pendens had been met and that that court had lawfully 92 declined jurisdiction in favour of the High Court of Justice. The Cour d'appel de Douai held 93 that there was no doubt that the originating application before the United Kingdom court, 94 lodged prior to the action in France, concerned the same transport, carried out from the 95 warehouses of Saflog on behalf of Cartier and that, even though only some of the same 96 parties were in both pending cases, it was undeniable that the issue of Ziegler France's 97 liability discussed before the High Court of Justice would have repercussions for 98 Montgomery transports, Inko Trade, Jaroslav Matěja and Groupama Transport.

99 21 Cartier and Axa assurances <u>appealed</u> against that judgment before the referring 100 court. Those parties claim inter alia that the Cour d'appel de Douai has misconstrued the meaning and scope of Article 27 of Regulation No 44/2001 by holding that the 101 jurisdiction of the High Court of Justice was 'established' within the meaning of that 102 article as its jurisdiction has not been contested. Those companies argue that the 103 104 jurisdiction of the court first seised may be established only by a judgment from that court explicitly rejecting its lack of jurisdiction or by the exhaustion of the remedies that 105 are available against its decision to assume jurisdiction. 106

107 22 It is apparent from the national file that the referring court considers that it is 108 undeniable that the High Court of Justice was first seised and that the conditions relating 109 to the same parties and subject-matter of the disputes are satisfied in the present case. 110 None the less, confronted with diverging academic opinion in France, the referring court is

- 111 unsure as to the scope of the expression 'jurisdiction of the court first seised is established'
- 112 within the meaning of Article 27(2) of Regulation No 44/2001.
- 113 23 In those circumstances, the Cour de cassation decided to stay the proceedings and to 114 refer the following question to the Court:

115 'Must Article 27(2) of [Regulation No 44/2001] be interpreted as meaning that the 116 jurisdiction of the court first seised is established, if neither party has claimed that it lacks 117 jurisdiction or if the court has accepted its jurisdiction by a decision which is <u>irrevocable</u> 118 for any reason whatsoever, including the exhaustion of legal remedies?'

(b) List, in bulletpoints, all the conditions that need to be met in order for the jurisdiction of the court first seised to be established, using the information provided in the following paragraphs:

44 Accordingly, it must be held that it is clear both from the overall scheme and the purpose of Regulation No 44/2001 that, in order for the jurisdiction of the court first seised to be established within the meaning of Article 27(2) thereof, it is sufficient, where the court second seised does not have exclusive jurisdiction under that regulation, that the court first seised has not declined jurisdiction of its own motion and that none of the parties has contested that jurisdiction before or up to the time at which a position is adopted which is regarded by national procedural law as being the first defence.

8 45 Having regard to all of the foregoing considerations, the answer to the question is that Article 27(2) of Regulation No 44/2001 must be interpreted as meaning that, except in the 9 situation where the court second seised has exclusive jurisdiction by virtue of that 10 11 regulation, the jurisdiction of the court first seised must be regarded as being established, within the meaning of that provision, if that court has not declined jurisdiction of its own 12 motion and none of the parties has contested its jurisdiction prior to or up to the time at 13 14 which a position is adopted which is regarded in national procedural law as being the first defence on the substance submitted before that court. 15

16 On those grounds, the Court (Third Chamber) hereby rules:

17 Article 27(2) of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters must 18 19 be interpreted as meaning that, except in the situation where the court second seised has 20 exclusive jurisdiction by virtue of that regulation, the jurisdiction of the court first seised 21 must be regarded as being established, within the meaning of that provision, if that court has not declined jurisdiction of its own motion and none of the parties has contested its 22 23 jurisdiction prior to or up to the time at which a position is adopted which is regarded in 24 national procedural law as being the first defence on the substance submitted before that 25 court.

ANSWER KEY

1. Correct answers:

1. action; 2. acknowledgement of receipt; 3. conveyancing; 4. dissenting opinion; 5. concurring opinion; 6. draft; 7. choice of court/forum selection ("forum shopping"); 8. to have jurisdiction; 9. habitual residence; 10. party; 11. counterclaim; 12. award; 13. expert opinion/evidence; 14. settlement; 15. authentic instrument; 16. admission of debt; 17. breach of contract; 18. decision; 19. default; 20. to fall due; 21. irreconcilable; 22. hearing; 23. legalisation; 24. pecuniary; 25. regulation; 26. damages; 27. overturn; 28. transcript; 29. legal person; 30. appearance; 31. injunction; 32. trial court/court of record; 33. ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution)/Mediation; 34. appeal; 35. bench trial; 36. hung jury; 37. leading question; 38. assignment; 39. disposition; 40. undertaking.

2. *Correct answers:*

1. for/acting for/appearing for/appearing on behalf of; 2. bench/a panel; 3. alone (a sole/single judge); 4. in private/in camera/in closed court; 5. in public/in open court/in curia; 6. practising; 7. trying/hearing; 8. wilfully/with intent; 9. uphold; 10. provisional/interim; 11. force; 12. standard; 13. burden.

3. Correct answers:

1. by; 2. up; 3. for; 4. up; 5. for; 6. to; 7. out; 8. out; 9. to; 10. up; 11. with; 12. to; 13. against (difference between "from" and "against": "against" is used to indicate resistance to or defense from something aggressive: *protection against burglars;* "from" is used to indicate agent or instrumentality: *protect from moisture*); 14. forth; 15. under; 16. down, with; 17. to; 18. through; 19. from; 20. on; 21. out; 22. down; 23. to; 24. to, to; 25. for; 26. with; 27. against; 28. of; 29. on/upon; 30. down; 31. into; 32. to; 33. of; 34. to; 35. for; 36. for; 37. from; 38. down; 39. to; 40. against.

4. *Correct answers:*

1. In rem (action); 2. Lis pendens; 3. Ab initio; 4. Acta iure imperii; 5. Ad litem; 6. Ad hoc; 7. Quid pro quo; 8. Exequatur; 9. Forum necessitates (rule); 10. Lex causae; 11. Ex parte; 12. Lex fori; 13. Prima facie; 14. Ex officio; 15. In absentia; 16. Lex loci delicti; 17. Sine die; 18. Ratio decidendi; 19. Res ipsa loquitur; 20. Quantum meruit; 21. Per diem; 22. Per capita; 23. In camera; 24. A priori; 25. Alibi; 26. In re; 27. Habeas corpus; 28. In loco parentis; 29. Bona fide; 30. De facto; 31. De iure/jure; 32. Ex post facto; 33. In situ; 34. Alias; 35. Inter alia; 36. Modus operandi; 37. Mutatis mutandis; 38. Obiter dicta; 39. Viceversa; 40. Status quo; 41. Per se; 42. Ipso facto.

5. Correct answers:

1.k; 2.x; 3.n; 4.w; 5.q; 6.j; 7.v; 8.m; 9.r; 10.f; 11.a; 12.y; 13.h; 14.c; 15.u; 16.z; 17.e; 18.i; 19.b-bis; 20.a-bis; 21.g; 22.d; 23.l; 24.s; 25.t; 26.b; 27.p; 28.o.

6. *Correct answers:*

1. disagree; 2. illicit; 3. independent; 4. invalid; 5. irrespective; 6. impracticable; 7. illegal; 8. unfair; 9. abnormal; 10. unwritten; 11. unlimited; 12. injustice; 13. unrestricted; 14. indirect; 15. informal; 16. incomparable; 17. unlawful; 18. unconstitutional; 19. unlikely; 20. irreconcilable; 21. incompetent; 22. insufficiently; 23. unreliability; 24. unauthorised; 25. ineffectiveness.

7. Correct answers:

1. by introducing; 2. to allocate/for allocation; 3. of providing; 4. apply; 5. evaluate; 6. investigate; 7. review; 8. assess; 9. renew; 10. because/since; 11. as to; 12. some; 13. most; 14. under; 15. (in order) to; 16. if; 17. if not; 18. if so; 19. about/on; 20. under.

8. *Correct answers:*

1. hereinabove; 2. hereinafter; 3. hereto; 4. heretofore; 5. hereunder; 6. herewith; 7. whereat; 8. whereby; 9. wherefore; 10. whereof; 11. whereupon; 12. hereafter; 13. hereby; 14. herein; 15. thereafter; 16. thereby; 17. therein; 18. thereof; 19. thereto; 20. thereupon; 21. whereabouts.

9. Correct answers:

1.k; 2.l; 3.e; 4.t; 5.z; 6.n; 7.u; 8.o; 9.j; 10.c; 11.i; 12.a; 13.b; 14.f; 15.h; 16.x; 17.w; 18.m; 19.d; 20.g; 21.r; 22.q; 23.s; 24.y.

10(a) *Correct answers:*

1. duress; 2. capacity; 3. contract; 4. to set aside; 5. vitiating factor; 6. consideration; 7. voidable contract; 8. undue influence; 9. termination; 10. rescission; 11. specific performance; 12. notice of default; 13. quantum meruit; 14. liquidated damages; 15. exclusion clause; 16. force majeure; 17. discharge; 18. abatement; 19. account of profit(s); 20. arrears; 21. creditor; 22. debtor; 23. provision.

10(b) *Correct answers:*

1. made; 2. notwithstanding; 3. contained, agree; 4. construction; 5. commence, continue, terminated; 6. enforced; 7. consent; 8. written; 9. material; 10. covenants; 11. dispose of; 12. discharged; 13. forbearance; 14. redemption; 15. defaulted; 16. detriment; 17. invalid; 18. null and void; 19. stipulates; 20. supersedes.

10(c) *Correct answers:*

1. <u>*Contra proeferentem* rule</u>: if an ambiguity in a contract cannot be resolved in any way, then it must be interpreted against the interests of the party that proposed the ambiguous part of the contract.

2. <u>Noscitur a sociis rule</u> ("it is known by its neighbours", a word is known by the company it keeps): if the meaning of a phrase in a contract is unclear by itself, its meaning should be inferred from the words and phrases associated with it.

3. *Ejusdem generis* rule ("of the same kind"): when a list of specific items belonging to the same class is followed by general words, the latter are treated as confined to other items of the same class.

4. <u>*Expressio unis est exclusio alterius* rule</u>: ("the inclusion of one is the exclusion of another"): when a list of specific items is not followed by general words, it is taken as exhaustive, so only the items in a list of words are included.

Interpretation of meaning:

EJUSDEM GENERIS: "Cats, dogs and other animals". How do you interpret "other animals"? "Domestic animals only". "Automobiles, trucks, tractors, motorcycles and other motor vehicles". How do you interpret "vehicles"? It would not include aeroplanes, since the list is of land-based transportation.

EXPRESIO UNIS EST EXCLUSSIO ALTERIUS: "Weekends and public holidays". What does it exclude? It excludes ordinary weekdays. "Do not remove chairs from the library". Does it allow for the removal of books? No.

10(d) *Correct answers:*

1. incurred; 2. sue; 3. liable; 4. for.

10(e) *Correct answers:*

1. assignment; 2. confidentiality; 3. force majeure; 4. choice of law/forum; 5. statute of limitations; 6. indemnification; 7. severability; 8. arbitration; 9. liquidated damages; 10. termination.

10(f) *Correct answers:*

1. unenforceable; 2. express; 3. non-binding; 4. invalid; 5. non-exclusive; 6. explicit; 7. private; 8. incomplete; 9. final; 10. informal.

11 *Correct answers:*

(a) 1. assignment; 2. transferred.

(b) 1. breach; 2. infringement; 3, violations; 4. breach; 5. violations; 6. infringement.

(c) 1. provisions; 2. clause; 3. provision; 4. article; 5. terms; 6. clause; 7. terms; 8. terms, provisions.

(d) 1. agreement; 2. contract.

(e) 1. obligation; 2. liability.

(f) 1. assurance; 2. undertaking.

(g) 1. ineffective; 2. void; 3. invalid.

12. *Correct answers:*

(a). prematurely; (b) be entitled; (c) rescinding; (d) parties; (e) permitted; (f) perform; (g) unilaterally; (h) lacked; (i) enter; (j) minors; (k) complete.

13. *Correct answers:*

(a). performance; (b) contractual; (c) obligation; (d) parties; (e) occasions; (f) impossible; (g) unforeseen; (h) enter; (i) agree; (j) terminated; (k) violates; (l) fails; (m) claiming; (n) substantial; (o) recover; (p) awarded; (q) liquidated; (r) monetary; (s) breaching.

14. Correct answers:

1. winding-up/liquidation; 2. administrator; 3. annual accounts; 4. annual general meeting (AGM); 5. loan capital; 6. majority shareholder; 7. auditor; 8. subsidiary; 9. assets; 10. articles of association/incorporation; 11. liability; 12. share capital; 13. shareholder/stockholder; 14. ordinary resolution; 15. sole trader; 16. partnership; 17. ordinary course of business; 18. incorporation; 19. managing director/chief executive

officer; 20. insolvent company; 21. joint and several liability; 22. liquidator; 23. dividend; 24. bankruptcy; 25. board of directors; 26. bond; 27. capital; 28. debenture; 29. securities.

15. Correct answers:

(a) Pronounce the following <u>terms</u> from Regulation 1215/2012 (*British English pronunciation*):

1	
1. incorporation	[ın kər·pəˈreɪ·ʃən]
2. employee	[Im'ploI.i:]
3. domicile	['dɒm.i.sail]
4. proceedings	[prəˈsiː.dɪŋz]
5. court	[kɔːt]
6. regulation	[ˌreg.jəˈleı.∫ən]
7. policyholder	['pɒl.ə.si hoəʊl.dər]
8. formality	[fəːˈmæl.ə.ti]
9. defendant	[dɪˈfen.dənt]
10. insured	[ınˈʃəːd]
11. refusal	[rɪˈfjuː.zəl]
12. legalisation	[ˌliːɡəlaɪˈzeɪʃən]
13. instrument	['ın.strə.mənt]
14. convention	[kənˈven.∫ən]
15. matter	[ˈmæt.ər]
16. prejudice	['predʒ.ə.dıs]
17. arbitration	[ˌaː.bɪˈtreɪ.ʃən]
18. beneficiary	[ˌben.əˈfɪʃ.ər.i]
19. institute	[ˈɪn.stɪ.tʃuːt]
20.section	[ˈsek.ʃən]
21. contract	['kon.trækt]
22. provision	[prəˈvɪʒ.ən]
23. sue	[su:]
24. law	[lɔː]
25. certificate	[səˈtɪf.ɪ.kət]
26. to contest	[to kənˈtest]
27. notify	['nəʊ.tɪ.faɪ]
28.action	[ˈæk.ʃən]
29. obligation	[ˈɒb.lɪˈɡeɪ.ʃən]
30.sitúate	[ˈsɪtʃ.u.eɪt]
31. dispute	[dɪˈspjuːt]

32. appeal	[əˈpiːl]
33. tribunal	[traɪˈbjuː.nəl]
34. authenticity	[ˌɔː.θenˈtɪs.ə.ti]
35. applicant	[ˈæp.lɪ.kənt]
36.liability	[ˌlaɪ.əˈbɪl.ə.ti]
37. proportionality	[prəˌpɔːʃəˈnæləti]
38. provisional	[prəˈvɪʒ.ən.əl]
39. protective	[prəˈtek.tɪv]
40.supersede	[ˌsuː.pəˈsiːd]
41. evidence	['ev.1.dəns]
42. seek	[siːk]
43. claimant	['kle1.mənt]
44.order	[ˈɔː.dər]
45. property	['prɒp.ə.ti]
46.litigation	[ˌlɪt.ɪˈɡeɪ.ʃən]
47. substance	['sʌb.stəns]
48.commerce	['kɒm.ɜːs]
49. appearance	[əˈpɪə.rəns]
50.territory	[ˈter.ɪ.tər.i]
51. consumer	[kənˈsjuː.mər]

(b) Pronounce the following <u>word combinations</u> from Regulation 1215/2012 (*British English pronunciation*):

1. direct enforcement	[daɪˈrekt <i>or</i> dɪˈrekt ːɪnˈfəːsmənt]
2. insurance contract	[ınˈʃəː.rəns ˈkɒn.trækt]
3. effect service	[1ˈfekt ˈsɜː.vɪs]
4. separate proceedings	[ˈsep.ər.ət prəˈsiː.dɪŋz]
5. of its own motion	[əv ıts əʊn ˈməʊ.ʃən]
6. habitually resident	[həˈbɪtʃ.ə.li ˈrez.ɪ.dənt]
7. date of receipt	[deɪt əv rɪˈsiːt]
8. alternative grounds of jurisdiction _dʒʊə.rɪsˈdɪk.ʃən]	[vl't3:.nə.tıv gravndz əv
9. exercise jurisdiction	[ˈek.sə.saız ˌdʒʊə.rɪsˈdɪk.ʃən]
10. proposal for amendment	[prəˈpəʊ.zəl fər əˈmend.mənt]
11. debtor protection rule	[ˈdet.ər prəˈtek.ʃən ruːl]
12. sufficient time	[səˈfɪʃ.ənt taɪm]
13. commence proceedings	[kəˈmens prəˈsiː.dɪŋz]

14. beneficiary of an insurance contract 'kon.trækt] 15. judgment 16. to summon to appear 17. proof of service 18. official language 19. to issue a certificate 20.to contest enforcement 21. contrary to public policy 22. to dismiss the proceedings 23. recoverable costs 24. calculation of interest 25. to challenge a judgment 26. cause of action 27. to discontinue proceedings 28. judgment capable of recognition [rek.əq'nif.ən] 29. to serve a document 30.to stay proceedings 31. at first instance 32. to lodge a document 33. declaration of enforceability 34. to decline jurisdiction 35. in default of appearance 36. enforcement of judgment 37. authentic instrument 38. exclusive jurisdiction 39. refusal of enforcement 40.refusal of recognition 41. incidental question 42. Member State 43. outcome of proceedings 44.to seek enforcement 45. to suspend the proceedings 46.to join proceedings 47. principal place of business 48.court settlement

[ben.əˈfɪʃ.ər.i əv ən mˈʃɔː.rəns

['dʒʌdʒ.mənt] [to 'sam.ən to ə'piər] [pru:f əv 'ss:.vis] [əˈfɪʃ.əl ˈlæŋ.gwɪdʒ] [to 'ıʃ.uː/'ıs.juː ə sə'tɪf.ı.kət] [to kən'test in'fo:smənt] ['kpn.trə.ri tu 'pʌb.lık 'ppl.ə.si] [tu di'smis ðə prə'si:.dinz] [ri'kavərəbl kosts] [kæl.kjəˈleɪ.ʃən əv ˈın.trəst] [tu 'tʃæl.ındʒ ə 'dʒʌdʒ.mənt] [kɔːz əv ˈæk.ʃən] [to dis.kənˈtin.juː prəˈsiː.diŋz] ['dʒʌdʒ.mənt 'keı.pə.bəl əv

[to s3:v ə 'dpk.jə.mənt] [to ster prəˈsiː.dɪŋz] [ət 'f3:st 'm.stəns] [tu lpd3 ə 'dpk.jə.mənt] [dek.lə'rei.jən əv enfərsəbiləti] [tu dı'klaın dʒuə.rıs'dık.ʃən] [in di'fplt əv ə'piə.rəns] [ın'fəːsmənt əv 'dʒʌdʒ.mənt] [ɔːˈθen.tɪk ˈɪn.strə.mənt] [ıkˈskluː.sıv dʒʊə.rɪsˈdɪk.ʃən] [rɪˈfjuː.zəl əv ɪnˈfɔːsmənt] [riˈfjuː.zəl əv rek.əqˈniʃ.ən] [In.si den.təl kwes.tʃən] ['mem.bər steit] ['aut.kAm əv prə'si:.dıŋz] [to si:k in'fo:smont] [tu sə'spend ðə prə'si:.dinz] [tu dʒoin prəˈsiː.diŋz] ['prin.sə.pəl pleis əv 'biz.nis] [ko:t 'set.əl.mənt]

49. immovable property	[1'muː.və.bəl 'prop.ə.ti]
50.legal person	[ˈliː.ɡəl ˈpɜː.sən]
51. protective measure	[prəˈtek.tɪv ˈmeʒ.ər]
52. provisional measure	[prəˈvɪʒ.ən.əl ˈmeʒ.ər]
53. substance of a matter	[ˈsʌb.stəns əv ə ˈmæt.ər]
54. irreconcilable judgment	[ˌır.ek.ənˈsaı.lə.bəl ˈdʒʌdʒ.mənt]
55. original claim	[əˈrɪdʒ.ən.əl kleɪm]
56. injured party	['ın.dʒəd 'paː.ti]
57. finding of fact	[ˈfaɪn.dɪŋ əv fækt]
58.to lodge an appeal	[tʊ lɒdʒ ən əˈpiːl]
59. to contest an appeal	[tʊ kənˈtest ən əˈpiːl]
60.ordinary appeal	[ˈɔː.dən.əri ən əˈpiːl]
61. enforceable obligation	[ɪnˈfɔːsəbl ˌɒb.lɪˈɡeɪ.ʃən]
62. arbitration agreement	[ˌɑː.bɪˈtreɪ.ʃən əˈɡriː.mənt]
63. choice of court agreement	[t∫ɔıs əv kɔːt əˈɡriː.mənt]
64.competent enforcement authority ɔːˈθɒr.ə.ti]	['kom.pi.tənt in'fəːsmənt
65. cause of action	[kɔːz əv ˈæk.ʃən]
66. maintenance obligation	[ˈmeɪn.tən.əns ˌʊb.lɪˈɡeɪ.ʃən]
67. reasonable time	[ˈriː.zən.ə.bəl taɪm]

16(a). *Correct answers:*

1. reasoning; 2. unseaworthy; 3. misconduct; 4. tampering with; 5. issued; 6. settled; 7. stayed; 8. torts actionable; 9. sought; 10. pursuant; 11. discretion; 12. bound; 13. mandatory; 14. correctness; 15. unanimously; 16. lead judgment; 17. results; 18. construed; 19. preclude; 20. irreconcilable; 21. cause; 22. action; 23. disregarded; 24. indemnity, exclusive jurisdiction, release; 25. subject matter; 26. akin; 27. clauses; 28. alleged breach; 29. provisions; 30. factual basis; 31. unanimous; 32. majority; 33. mirror images; 34. abandon; 35. referred; 36. allowed; 37. in part; 38. submission; 39. rejected; 40. natural; 41. arguable; 42. doctrine; 43. raised; 44. order; 45. cost; 46. adjourned.

16(b). *Correct answers:*

- 1. Nor has the court second seised.
- 2. Never must institutions violate vulnerable people's rights.
- 3. Rarely have national courts provided legal aids in such cases.
- 4. Seldom has there been so much publicity about a ruling issued by the CJEU.
- 5. Never will some EU countries support same sex marriages.
- 6. On no account must claimants show lack of respect for the judge during hearings.

16(c). *Correct answers:*

1. On what basis did the insurers deny liability?

They denied liability on the basis that the vessel was unseaworthy with the privity of Starlight.

2. What do you think "privity of contract" is?

The doctrine of privity in the common law of contract provides that a contract cannot confer rights or impose obligations arising under it on any person or agent except the parties to it. It is a doctrine of contract law that prevents any person from seeking the enforcement of a contract, or suing on its terms, unless they are a party to that contract.

3. What was Starlight's response to the insurers when they denied liability?

Starlight made a number of serious allegations against their insurers including allegations of misconduct involving tampering with and bribing of witnesses.

4. Before the hearing, the 2006 proceedings were settled between Starlight and the insurers. How were the proceedings stayed then?

By way of a Tomlin Order, which is a court order in the English civil justice system under which a court action is stayed, on terms which have been agreed in advance between the parties and which are included in a schedule to the order. As such, it is a form of consent order. The order permits either party to apply to court to enforce the terms of the order, avoiding the need to start fresh proceedings. The terms of the schedule do not form part of the court order, so may remain confidential, and can include matters outside the jurisdiction of the court or the scope of the case in hand

5. How many proceedings were issued by Starlight in April 2011 and what form did they have?

Nine sets of Greek proceedings, in materially identical form, were issued by Starlight although they were expressed as torts actionable in Greece.

6. What do the insurers submit before the Supreme Court?

The insurers submit that the judge was correct to refuse a stay under Article 28.

7. What do the insurers challenge before the Supreme Court and on what basis?

The insurers challenge the correctness of the Court of Appeal's conclusion under Article 27.

8. What is the basis of Starlight's cross-appeal?

Starlight cross-appeal on the Article 28 point.

9. What is the judgment of the court?

The Supreme Court unanimously allows the CMI's and LMI's appeal.

10. How many judges agree and how many disagree?

Lord Clarke, Lord Sumption and Lord Hughes agree. Lord Neuberger agrees adding a short judgment of his own. Lord Mance agrees with the result.

11. What is the purpose of Article 27?

The purpose of Article 27 is to prevent the courts of two Member States from giving inconsistent judgments and to preclude, so far as possible, the non-recognition of a judgment on the ground that it is irreconcilable with a judgment given by the court of another Member State.

12. What is the essential question to be decided on the claims in England and Greece?

The essential question is whether the claims in England and Greece are mirror images of each other and thus legally irreconcilable.

13. What are the three heads of claim in England?

There are three heads of claim in England: indemnity, exclusive jurisdiction and release.

14. What is the subject matter and the object of the claim in England and in Greece?

The subject matter of the claims is different. The Greek proceedings are claims in tort (or its Greek equivalent) and the claims in England are claims in contract.

15. When should a question be referred to the CJEU for an opinion?

The court unanimously decides that, unless the insurers abandon those claims for declarations, the relevant question should be referred to the CJEU for an opinion.

16. What is the limitation of the discretion to stay claims under Article 28?

The discretion to stay claims under Article 28 is limited to any court other than the court first seised.

17. What is the aim of Article 28?

The aim of Article 28 is to avoid parallel proceedings and conflicting decisions.

18. What is the natural court to consider the issues raised by CMI and LMI and why?

The natural court to consider the issues raised by CMI and LMI is the High Court in England because they raise contractual questions governed by English law and because it is at least arguable that the parties have agreed that they should be decided by the High Court, where the proceedings are more advanced than in Greece. 19. What is the decision of the court as regards the outcome of the case in the Court of Appeal?

The decision of the judge in refusing a stay under Article 28 is upheld and the crossappeal is dismissed.

17(b). *Correct answers:*

1. to; on; 2. over; with; 3. in; against; 4. to; to; 5. to; of; 6. in; for; 7. for; on; 8. against; about; 9. on; from; by; 10. on; on; 11. of; in; to; 12. by; of.

17(c). *Correct answers:*

1.c; 2.b; 3.a; 4.d; 5.d; 6.b; 7.c; 8.a; 9.b; 10.c; 11.d; 12.c; 13.a; 14.b; 15.c; 16.b; 17.a; 18.d; 19.b; 20.c.

18(a). *Correct answers:*

1. chamber; 2. capacity; 3. exclusive; 4. immovable; 5. guardianship; 6. request; 7. initiated; 8. rapporteur; 9. on behalf of; 10. proceed; 11. concerns; 12. placed; 13. authorisation.

18(b). *Correct answers:*

1. referred; 2. pursuant to; 3. appointed; 4. official; 5. national; 6. death; 7. inherited; 8. approval; 9. application; 10. sale; 11. establishment; 12. decision; 13. refused; 14. lacking; 15. adversely; 16. homeless; 17. appeal; 18. provision; 19. proceedings; 20. ruling.

18(c). *Correct answer:*

This is the original question:

'Is Article 22(1) of Regulation No 44/2001 applicable only to contentious proceedings which have as their object rights *in rem* in immovable property or does it also apply to non-contentious proceedings by which a national of a Member State who, in accordance with its national law, has been declared by a court of that State to be lacking full legal capacity and for whom a guardian has been appointed (who is also a national of that Member State) seeks to dispose of immovable property belonging to him which is situated in another Member State?'

18(d). *Correct answers:*

1. for; 2. in; 3. to; 4. of; 5. under; 6. with; 7. for; 8. in; 9. to; 10. of; 11. for; 12. by; 13. by; 14. before; 15. within; 16. for; 17. in; 18. on; 19. in; 20. on.

18(e). *Correct answer:*

This is the original ruling:

Council Regulation (EC) No.44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters and, in particular, Article 22(1) thereof must be interpreted as not applying to non-contentious proceedings by which a national of a Member State who has been declared to be lacking full legal capacity and placed under guardianship in accordance with the law of that State applies to a court in another Member State for authorisation to sell his share of a property situated in that other Member State, in view of the fact that such proceedings are concerned with the 'legal capacity of natural persons' for the purposes of Article 1(2)(a) of Regulation No.44/2001, a matter which falls outside the material scope of that regulation.

18(f). *Correct answers:*

1. At the end of the year, all students are to take an oral exam. (OFFICIAL ORDER)

2. What am I to say? (THINGS THAT SHOULD BE DONE)

3. The Prime Minister was to speak at the summit. (OFFICIAL ARRANGEMENTS)

4. You can go to Sarah's party but you are not to return later than 11pm. (PROHIBITION)

5. If you are to work in this country for more than six months, you must have a work permit. (INDICATION OF WHAT SHOULD BE DONE TO REACH AN OBJECTIVE)

6. The CEO was to have spoken at the meeting, but his flight was late and he didn't make it. (WAS/WERE + to + PERFECT INFINITIVE = AN EVENT THAT DID NOT HAPPEN)

7. A man is to appear in court today charged with the murder of his girlfriend. (FUTURE EVENTS; USED BY THE PRESS)

8. If I were to bring my offer down from 100 euros to 80 euros, would you be interested in buying my bike then? (CONDITIONAL SENTENCE TO REFER TO A PRECONDITION FOR SOMETHING TO HAPPEN)

9. No food of any kind is to be taken into the examination room. (INSTRUCTIONS/ORDERS)

19(a). *Correct answers:*

1. in; 2. for; 3. for; 4. by; 5. to; 6. on; 7. against; 8. on; 9. for; 10. into; 11. in; 12. to; 13. from; 14. to; 15. on; 16. with; 17. for; 18. on; 19. in; 20. in; 21. in; 22. at; 23. between; 24. on; 25. to; 26. in; 27. to; 28. by; 29. to; 30. to; 31. for; 32. to; 33. in; 34. to; 35. before; 36. on; 37. to; 38. to; 39. for; 40. to; 41. for; 42. by; 43. against; 44. in; 45. at.

19(b). *Open-ended answer.*

19(c). *Open-ended answer.*

19(d). *Open-ended answer.*

19(e). *Correct answers:*

- 1. The Tribunal de Commerce de Paris ordered that ALL THE PROCEEDINGS BE JOINED.
- 2. The judge ordered that SUCH AN OBJECTION BE DISMISSED as inadmissible.
- 3. The prosecutor suggested that EXHIBITS ONE TO FOUR BE MADE available to the jury.
- 4. Counsel recommended that defendant CHANGE HIS APPROACH to the facts.
- 5. It was requested that THE PARTIES BE present in the hearing.
- 6. It is essential that witnesses BE available in the premises of the court at all times.
- 7. The judge requested that the jury LEAVE the courtroom for a few minutes.
- 8. There is also the requirement on the vendor's part that advance payments BE MADE before the end of the period during which the purchaser may withdraw without giving reasons.
- 9. The Commission may request that standards BE DRAWN UP by the European standards organisations.
- 10. In the event of a withdrawal, an order that a party BEAR the costs of the other party is only possible if the other party has made an application to that effect.
- 11. The President of the Fourth Chamber has ordered that the case BE REMOVED from the register.

20(a). Open-ended answer.

20(b). Open-ended answer.