Implementation and Monitoring of the UN CRPD at State and EU Level

Obligations and Challenges

ERA – EFC Seminar Madrid, 15-16 December 2011

Alexander HOEFMANS
Research Group on Constitutionalism
& Fundamental Rights, VUB
Human Rights Advisor Belgian Ministry of Justice

	Country	Convention Signature Date	Protocol Signature Date	Convention Ratification Date	Protocol Ratification Date
1.	Austria	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	26-9-2008	26-9-2008
2.	Belgium	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	2-7-2009	2-7-2009
3.	Bulgaria	27-9-2007	18-12-2008		
4.	Croatia	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	15-8-2007	15-8-2007
5.	Cyprus	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	27-6-2011	27-6-2011
6.	Czech Republic	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	28-9-2009	
7.	Denmark	30-3-2007		24-7-2009	
8.	Estonia	25-9-2007			
9.	Finland	30-3-2007	30-3-2007		
10.	France	30-3-2007	23-9-2008	18-2-2010	18-2-2010
11.	Germany	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	24-2-2009	24-2-2009
12.	Greece	30-3-2007	27-9-2010		
13.	Hungary	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	20-7-2007	20-7-2007
14.	Ireland	30-3-2007			
15.	Italy	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	15-5-2009	15-5-2009

16.	Latvia	18-7-2008	22-1-2010	1-3-2010	31-8-2010
10.	Ldivid	10-7-2000	22-1-2010	1-3-2010	31-0-2010
17.	Lithuania	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	18-8-2010	18-8-2010
18.	Luxembourg	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	26-9-2011	26-9-2011
19.	Malta	30-3-2007	30-3-2007		
20.	Netherlands	30-3-2007			
21.	Poland	30-3-2007			
22.	Portugal	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	23-9-2009	23-9-2009
23.	Romania	26-9-2007	25-9-2008	31-1-2011	
24.	Slovakia	26-9-2007	26-9-2007	26-5-2010	26-5-2010
25.	Slovenia	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	24-4-2008	24-4-2008
26.	Spain	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	3-12-2007	3-12-2007
27.	Sweden	30-3-2007	30-3-2007	15-12-2008	15-12-2008
28.	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	30-3-2007	26-2-2009	8-6-2009	7-8-2009
	(Source: UN Enable)			19 (+1)	16 (+1)

1. Transcending the legalistic approach of human rights

Classic objectives and ingredients

...but

- Personifying human rights
 - \rightarrow a functional and pragmatic approach
 - \rightarrow proliferation of human rights instruments?
- Paradigm shifts
 - $\rightarrow conceptual$
 - \rightarrow structural

2. Obligations to facilitate implementation and monitoring

- Structural framework of implementation and monitoring
- Goals:
 - ° organise yourself internally as efficiently and transparently as possible for effective implementation
 - ° creating visibility and involvement for PWD and representative organisations in their relations with government
- Attention for all actors concerned:
 - ° 33, §1 political and administrative level
 - ° 33, §2 independent monitoring framework
 - ° 33, §3 civil society

Article 33 (1) CRPD: Focal Point

- "States Parties, in accordance with their system of organisation shall designate one or more focal points within government for matters relating to the implementation present Convention[...]"
- Double purpose:
 - → legitimate place on the political agenda
 - → administrative tool to advance the rights of PWD
- Mapping exercises, mainstreaming, national action plans,...
- Applying the human rights approach?

Article 33 (1) CRPD: Focal Point Trends

- Austria: Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (BMASK);
- Belgium: Directorate-General for Strategy and Research of the Ministry of Social Affairs;
- □ Czech Republic : Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs;
- Denmark: Ministry of Social Affairs;
- □ France: /
- □ Germany: Federal Ministry for Labour and Social Affairs (BMAS);
- Hungary: Ministry of National Resources;
- Italy: Directorate-General for Inclusion, Social Rights and Social Responsibility of the Ministry of Labour and Social Policies;
- Latvia: Ministry of Welfare;
- Lithuania: Ministry of Social Security and Labour;
- □ Portugal:
- Romania: Directorate-General for the Protection of Persons with Disabilities of the Ministry of Labor, Family and Social Protection (DGPPH);
- Slovenia: Directorate for Persons with Disabilities of the Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Affairs;
- Spain: Directorate-General for the Coordination of Sectoral Policies on Disability of the Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equality;
- Sweden: Family and Social Services Division of the Ministry of Health and Social Affairs:
- □ United Kingdom: Office for Disability Issues (cross-governmental body).

(source: OHCHR study on the Implementation of the CRPD in Europe, November 2011)

Article 33 (1) CRPD: Coordination Mechanism

- "[The States Parties] ... shall give due consideration to the establishment or designation of a coordination mechanism within government to facilitate related action in different sectors and at different levels."
- Double purpose:
 - → internal dimension
 - → external dimension
- Role may depend on the nature of the State system
- □ Joined-up governance?

Article 33 (1) CRPD: Coordination Mechanism Trends

- □ Focal point = Coordination mechanism: Austria, Belgium (partially), Czech Republic, Italy, Romania, United Kingdom
- □ Separate Coordination mechanism: Denmark, France (no information on focal point), Germany, Spain, Sweden
- Advisory bodies:
 - ° no coordination mechanism: Latvia, Portugal, Slovakia
 - ° supplementing focal points and coordination mechanism: Austria, Czech Republic, Romania

(source: OHCHR study on the Implementation of the CRPD in Europe, November 2011)

3. Obligations to facilitate monitoring

"States Parties shall, in accordance with their legal and administrative systems, maintain, strengthen, designate or establish within the State Party, a framework, including one or more independent mechanisms, as appropriate, to promote, protect and monitor implementation of the present Convention. When designating or establishing such a mechanism, States parties shall take into account the principles relating to the status and functioning of national institutions for protection and promotion of human rights."

Article 33 (2) CRPD: Independent Mechanisms

- Taking into account the Paris Principles:
 - \rightarrow Independence
 - $\rightarrow Pluralism$
 - \rightarrow Mandate
- National human rights institutions v. separate independent mechanisms
- Interaction with focal points, coordination mechanisms and civil society

Article 33 (2) CRPD: Monitoring Framework Trends

- National Human Rights Institution: Denmark, Germany, United Kingdom (+ Scotland and Northern Ireland)
- Ombudsman: Latvia, Lithuania, Denmark,
- **□ Equality body:** Belgium, UK (Northern Ireland)
- Other institutions:
 - ° *new:* Austria (Independent Monitoring Committee), Italy (National Observatory on the Situation of Persons with Disabilities), Slovenia (Council for Persons with Disabilities)
 - * existing: Spain (Committee of Representatives of People with Disabilities), Hungary (National Council of Disability), Lithuania (Council for Disability Affairs)
- □ Framework: United Kingdom, Lithuania

(source: OHCHR study on the Implementation of the CRPD in Europe, November 2011)

4. CPRD ratification by the EU

- ... Participation of the European Community in the negotiations
- ... The European Community signs the CRPD on 30th March 2007
- ... Council Decision of 26 November 2009 concerning the conclusion by the EC of the CRPD
- ... Conclusion of the Code of conduct on 15 December 2010
- ... Depositing the instruments of formal confirmation on 23 December 2010
- ... CRPD enters into force on 22 January 2011
- ... Future: conclusion of the Optional Protocol?

Implementation and Monitoring challenges at EU level

- Precedent since Lisbon Treaty
- EU as a "State Party" on equal footing?
 - → Council decision of 26 November 2009
 - \rightarrow Annex II
 - \rightarrow Appendix
 - \rightarrow Code of Conduct
- Challenges to:
 - → Implementing the CRPD
 - \rightarrow Monitoring the CRPD

Article 33 (1) CRPD applied to the EU – functioning

- Designation within "government" for implementation purposes
 - → the Commission as focal point
 - \rightarrow coordination mechanism?
 - →Disability High Level Group?
- Applying the Code of Conduct
 - → reporting procedure
 - → common positions before CRPD bodies
 - \rightarrow EU member of the CRPD Committee

Article 33 (2) CRPD applied to the EU – framework

- Maintain, strengthen, designate or establish
- □ Single institution v. framework
- Potential actors
 - → the Fundamental Rights Agency
 - → the European Ombudsman
 - \rightarrow the Court of Justice of the EU
 - → the European Parliament (Petitions Committee)
 - \rightarrow the European associations representing PWD
 - → the European Commission

CRPD and the EU - a driver for change?

- New dynamic to the Union's disability rights agenda?
 - \rightarrow formally: no change in transfer of competences, nor in division of competences
 - \rightarrow practically: internal and external dynamic
 - 1) internal leverage
 - 2) external driver for change
 - 3) global player
 - 4) network of networks
- Applying the human rights approach?