

Overview

- Introduction
- EU childcare leave legislation
- CJEU case-law
- EU Charter
- Suggestions for solution
- Conclusion



Introduction

- Early 90s: increasing female participation in labour market
- Reconciliation ⇔ gender equality
- · Work vs motherhood
 - Discrimination
 - Woman's problem
- Renconciliation rights 우

UHASSELT KNOWLEGE IN ACTION

Introduction

- Woman's problem
- Reconciliation: ♀ and ♂
 - Maternity leave
 - Paternity leave
 - Parental leave

UHASSELT

Maternity leave

- Directive 92/85/EEC
- Gender-specific 우
- 14 weeks (2 compulsory)
- Dual aim:
- 1) Protection of woman's health and safety
- 2) Special mother-child relationship (C-184/83 Hofmann, 1984)

UHASSELT

5

Paternity leave

- Directive (EU) 2019/1158
- Gender-specific ♂
- · 10 working days
- Aim: bonding time between father and child following childbirth

UHASSELT

Parental leave

- Directives 96/34/EC, 2010/18/EU and Directive (EU) 2019/1158
- Gender-neutral: ♀ and ♂
- 4 months (2 non-transferable)
- · Up to 8 years old
- Aim: leave from work on the grounds of birth/adoption of a child to take care of that child

UHASSELT

7

Preliminary observations

- · Caring relationship: caregiver and care recipient
- · Ratione personae: working parents
- Missing: children's rights perspective
- Inequalities between children
 - Multiple births
 - Non-traditional family formats: surrogacy, adoption,...

UHASSELT

- 1992 Council Recommendation on Child care
 - 'Reconciliation (...) arises from the care of children.'
- · Art. 33 EU Charter
 - '(...) the right to paid maternity leave and to parental leave follow[s] the birth or adoption of a child.'



9

C-519/03 Commission v Luxembourg (2005)

- Parental leave is conferred 'on the grounds of the birth or adoption of a child'
- 'such wording reflects only the fact that (...) parental leave is subject to the condition that a child has been born or adopted'



Research question

How can the EU Charter ensure equal treatment and non-discrimination between children in childcare leave?

Art. 20: equal treatment

Art. 21: prohibition of discrimination

Art. 24: rights of the child

UHASSELT

11

Cases C-167/12 *C.D. v S.T.* (2014) and C-263/12 *Z. v A Government Department* (2014)

- 'Surrogacy cases'
- 'Surrogacy is a form of third-party reproduction in which a woman consents to carry a pregnancy for intended parent(s)' (ScienceDirect)
- Right of intended mothers to any birth-related leave that is equivalent to maternity leave (Dir. 92/85/EEC) or adoption leave?

UHASSELT

C.D. v S.T. and Z. (surrogacy cases)

- · No right to maternity leave for intended mothers
- Monistic biological interpretation of motherhood under Directive 92/85/EEC: worker has been pregnant and has given birth
- Right to adoption leave is not mandatory
- No EU consensus



13

C.D. v S.T. and Z. (surrogacy cases)

- · Opinion AG Kokott
- Functional approach
- Maternity leave for intended mothers
- Art. 24 EU Charter rights of the child
 - Best interests of the child
 - Right to maintain contact with both parents
- Art. 7 EU Charter right to family life

UHASSELT

KNOWLEGGE IN ACTION

C.D. v S.T. and Z. (surrogacy cases)

- Natural children vs children born via surrogacy
- No children's rights perspective
- No EU Charter-analysis

5 UHASSELT

15

Case C-149/10 Zoi Chatzi (2010)

Facts

- Parental leave for twins under Directive 96/34/EC
- Double parental leave?
- 2 preliminary questions:
- 1) Are children entitled to an individual right to parental leave?
- 2) Does the number of parental leave periods equal the number of children?

▶► UHASSELT

Case C-149/10 Zoi Chatzi (2010)

EU Charter

- 1) Art. 24:
 - Such protection and care as is necessary for their wellbeing
 - Best interests of the child
 - To maintain on a regular basis a personal relationship and direct contact with both parents
- 1) Art. 21(1): prohibition of discrimination on the basis of birth



17

1st question: are childen entitled to an individual right to parental leave?

- No
 - 1) Right is granted to workers
 - 2) Purpose: reconciliation
- Art. 24 EU Charter does not imply 'that children have to be acknowledged as having an individual right to (...) obtain parental leave. It is sufficient for such a right to be conferred on the parents themselves'
- · No Art. 21 EU Charter-analysis: discrimination on the basis of birth

UHASSELT

2nd question: do twins confer a double parental leave on their parents?

- Number of children ≠ number of periods of parental leave
- No automatic double parental leave for twins BUT
- Art. 20 EU Charter (equal treatment): twin parents are in a special situation
- EU law: minimum standards
- Member States must take twin parents' 'particular needs' into account

UHASSELT

19

Case C-149/10 Zoi Chatzi (2010)

Pros

- Interpretation of Art. 20 EU Charter
- Parental leave tailored to the 'particular needs' of twin parents

Cons

• Arts. 21 and 24 EU Charter from a children's rights perspective

UHASSELT

Observations

- · Inequalities between children
 - Zoi Chatzi: parental leave for single birth vs multiple births
 - Surrogacy cases: no right to birth-related leave
- CJEU does not address these issues
- · Lack of engagement with children's rights

UHASSELT

21

Potential of EU Charter

- Scope of application (Art. 51(1)): obligation to respect and promote the EU Charter
- 1) EU in all its acts
- 2) Member States only when implementing EU law
 ☐ Transposing EU law in national systems

▶► UHASSELT

EU Charter

- Art. 20: equal treatment
- Art. 21(1): non-discrimination
 - Birth status
- Art. 24: rights of the child
 - Such protection and care as is necessary for their well-being
 - Best interests of the child
 - To maintain on a regular basis a personal relationship and direct contact with both parents

23

UHASSELT

Potential of EU Charter

Triple function:

- 1) Grounds for judicial review: review the legality of EU secondary legislation with EU Charter
- 2) Compatibility of national legislation with EU Charter
- **3) Aid to interpretation**: EU secondary legislation and national legislation must be interpreted in line with fundamental rights

 Cf. interpretation of Art. 20 in *Zoi Chatzi*

24

UHASSELT

Potential of EU Charter

- Arts. 20 and 21 EU Charter as benchmarks for childcare leave
 - Art. 21(1): open-ended list of grounds?
 - 'family status' is not protected (AG Wathelet in C-5/12 Betriu Montull, 2013) BUT
 - 'length of service' is protected (C-49/18 Escribano Vindel, 2019)
 - 'Immigration status' is protected (AG Cruz in C-443/14 Alo and Osso, 2016)

25

UHASSELT

Potential of EU Charter

- Broad interpretation of 'birth' under Art. 21(1)
 - 'surrogacy' and 'multiple births' ⇔ birth
- Case C-177/88 Dekker (1990): pregnancy discrimination is direct sex discrimination

VHASSELT

'Not implementing EU law'

- EU Charter
 - Leave not provided for under EU law (surrogacy, adoption,...)
 - MS discretionary powers
- International law as gap filler
 - ECHR applies to 'everyone', including children
 - UNCRC protects against 'discrimination of any kind'
 - All EU Member States are States Parties



27

Suggestions for solution - surrogacy

- · Natural children vs children born via surrogacy
- AG Kokott in C.D. v S.T.:
 - Monistic biological vs functional interpretation of Directive 92/85/EEC
 - Mother-child relationship
 - Best interests of the child
- Paternity leave, parental leave,...



Suggestions for solution – multiple births

- Double parental leave
 - Strictly necessary? → Synergies
- Additional parental leave
 - Cf. additional maternity leave
 - AG Kokott suggested temporal deducations < double parental leave
- · Still important: gender equality



29

Conclusion

- EU law: no children's rights
- CJEU: unwilling to engage with children's rights
- · Childcare leave: inequalities between children
- Art. 51(1) EU Charter: mainstreaming children's rights
- Benchmarks for review: Arts. 20 and 21(1)
- Other relevant provisions:
 - Art. 7: right to family life
 - Art. 24: rights of the child + best interests of the child principle



