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Unequal division of work and care

Employment rate in the EU 2013:
- male 69.4%, female 58.8%
- FTE: male 67.7%; female 50.0%
  – Share of part-time employment: male 9.8%;
    female 32.7%

Much higher average number of unpaid working hours of women than men
Main EU instruments and case law

• Pregnancy Leave 92/85
• Parental Leave 2010/18 (repealed Directive 96/34)
• Prohibition of direct and indirect discrimination: Equal pay and equal treatment at work (Art. 157 TFEU; Recast 2006/54)
• EU Charter Art. 33(2)
Pregnancy Directive 92/85

• Aim: encourage improvements of health and safety of:
  – Pregnant workers
  – Workers who have recently given birth or
  – Are breastfeeding (Art. 2)

• Relevant cases: Mayr (C-506/06); Danosa (C-232/09); CD (C-167/12) and Z. (C-363/12)
Pregnancy Directive 92/85

- 14 weeks maternity leave (Art. 8)
- Payment or adequate allowance (Art. 11); *McKenna* (C-191/03)
- Holidays: *Merino Goméz* (C-342/01)
- Protection against dismissal from beginning pregnancy until end of maternity leave (Art. 10); *Melgar* (C-438-99)
- Applies also to fixed-term contracts: *Tele Danmark* (C-109/00)
• Art. 15 Recast Directive 2006/54
  – Return to own or equivalent job
  – Benefit from improvement in working conditions
• Proposal pending (COM 2008, 637)
PERSONNEL SAY THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO FUND YOUR MATERNITY LEAVE, BUT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO SEND SOMEONE AROUND TO SAY 'OOOH, AAAH, WHO'S A LOVELY BABYKINS' AFTER THE CHILD IS BORN!
Direct discrimination

• Direct discrimination is defined as: ‘where one person is treated less favourably on grounds of sex than another is, has been or would be treated in a comparable situation’

• Prohibition of less favourable treatment in relation to pregnancy and maternity (Art. 2(2)(c) Directive 2006/54)

• Case law: eg. Dekker (177/88), Melgar (C-438/99), Gassmayr (C-194/08), Parviainen (C-471/08)
Parental Leave 2010/18

- Framework Agreement
- Applies also to part-time workers, fixed-term workers and temporary agency workers
- Individual right, 4 months, not paid
- In principle non transferable
- Case law: e.g. *Meerts* (C-116/08), *Gómez-Limón* (C-537/07)
- Art. 16 Recast Directive 2006/54
Indirect discrimination

- Indirect discrimination is ‘where an apparently neutral provision, criterion or practice would put persons of one sex at a particular disadvantage compared with persons of the other sex, unless that provision, criterion or practice is objectively justified by a legitimate aim, and the means of achieving that aim are appropriate and necessary’
- Mobility: Danfoss (109/88)
- Comparability: Österreichischer Gewerkschaftsbund (C-220/02)
Principle of equal treatment in the Charter

• Value of parental leave as a social right. Art. 33(2)

• CJEU in Chatzi C-149/10:

‘observance of the principle of equal treatment, which is one of the general principles of European Union law and whose fundamental nature is affirmed in Article 20 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, is all the more important in implementing the right to parental leave because this social right is itself recognised as fundamental by Article 33(2) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights’ (cons. 63).
CJEU on protection of mothers vs rights of fathers

- Protection of motherhood after birth: *Hofmann* (184/83)
- Reconciliation and link with equality between men and women: *Hill* (C-243/95), *Gerster* (C-1/95)
- Own rights of fathers: *Roca Álvarez* (C-104/09)
- Only derived rights of fathers: *Betriu Montull* (C-5/12)
I told them I’d had ENOUGH, that I couldn’t STAND the crushing hours anymore, that I wanted to spend time with my children... How many have we got by the way?
CJEU in surrogacy cases

- MS are not required to provide maternity leave to commissioning mothers, even if she does breastfeed the baby: C.D. (C-167/12) and Z. (C-363/12)
- No direct or indirect sex discrimination. Comparable situation of commissioning father and commissioning mother
Concluding observations

• Step-by-step process
• Declining scale of rights
• No paid parental leave, would be a strong incentive for fathers. No social security benefits
• Potential role of the Charter
• No strong rights to flexible work arrangements or influence on working hours
Concluding observations (II)

- Protection of motherhood vs parenthood: divergences in case law
- Comparability issues
- Value of care and risks related to case are not (yet) addressed
- Future legislative proposal(s) with a broader scope?
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