

The burden of proof in sex discrimination law

Academy of Law (ERA)

13 June 2022

Claire Bruton, Barrister, Dublin



This training session is funded under the 'Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme 2014-2020' of the European Commission.

1

Introduction

- ▶ Normal rules of evidence/burden-difficulties for claimants
- ▶ Shifting burden of proof EU law

2

Case law: need for special rules

- ▶ **Danfoss C-109/88**: effective enforcement requires special rules re adducing evidence
- ▶ **Enderby C-127/92**: prima facie discrimination, shift to employer to objective reasons for difference in pay
- ▶ **Dansk Industri C-400/93**: piece work pay scheme

3

Burden of Proof Directive/Recast Directive

- ▶ Burden of Proof Directive (Council Directive 97/80) devised: reflective of case law
- ▶ Now Recast Directive (Directive 2006/54/EC):-
- ▶ (a) Claimant establish facts from which discrimination presumed;
- ▶ (b) Respondent no connection with protected characteristic

4

Case law Court of Justice: introduction

- ▶ Deferential approach to national rules
- ▶ Case law from other areas of discrimination

5

Case law: claimant and burden of proof

- ▶ ***Brunnhofer*** (C-381/99): claimant receiving less pay than comparator, performing like work. Employer activities not comparable or objective factors unrelated to sex
- ▶ ***Firma Feryn*** (C-54/07): discriminatory public statement of employer absent claimant sufficient

6

Case law claimant and burden of proof

- ▶ ***Asociatia ACCEPT*** (C-81/12): shareholder homophobic statement: sufficient facts to shift burden of proof
- ▶ ***CHEZ*** (C83-14) discriminatory and prejudicial views of respondent-sufficient to shift burden of proof

7

Examples of inference of discrimination

- ▶ Qualifications and experience of applicant and comparator-equal?
- ▶ No clear objective criteria for promotion process;
- ▶ Lack of transparency
- ▶ Witness evidence
- ▶ Discriminatory non objective criteria
- ▶ Questions at interview

8

Examples of inference of discrimination

- ▶ Discriminatory practices in the past?
- ▶ Composition of interview panel
- ▶ Statistics
- ▶ Appropriate policies in place
- ▶ Public statements of employer

9

Case law: Disclosure of information: burden of proof

- ▶ **Kelly** (C-104/10): refusal of employer to disclose information to claimant could be factor in burden of proof in limited circumstances. No general right.
- ▶ **Meister** (C-415/10): refusal to disclose information could be a factor in presumption of discrimination

10

Case law: Rebuttal by employer

- ▶ **CHEZ** (C83-14): explanation by respondent-if depended on race-could not discharge the burden of proof
- ▶ **Firma Feryn** (C-54/07): discharge of inference of discrimination: had respondent distanced itself from discriminatory public statements?
- ▶ **Asociatia ACCEPT** (C-81/12): explicit recruitment policy? Good recruitment practice, necessary policies?

11

Conclusions

- ▶ Shifting burden of proof: significant tool in advance of equality: equality subtle or subconscious
- ▶ Court of Justice careful not to trespass on national procedural rules

12

Q&A

- ▶ Thank you for your attention!
- ▶ Questions, comments very welcome!

