Age Discrimination

Ass. Prof. PD Dr. Christa Tobler, LL.M. (Universities of Basel and Leiden)

ERA Trier: Fight against discrimination in the daily practice 2 and 3 May 2005

OVERVIEW

- The historic background in EC law and in Dutch law before the existence of specific provisions
- The current legal framework (NL, EC)
- Some basic concepts
- (If there is enough time:) A short case study
- Conclusion

THE HISTORIC BACKGROUND (1)

EC Law

Case law based on then existing *sex discrimination law*, e.g.

- The Defrenne cases (1971, 1976, 1978)
- The Marshall cases (1986, 1993)
- Beets Proper = a Dutch case (1986)
- Barber (1990)

THE HISTORIC BACKGROUND (2)

E.g. the Netherlands

- Academic argument since the 1960s.
- Case law based on:
 - Art. 1 of the Dutch Constitution (Basic Law): right to equal treatment
 - Art. 26 of the United Nation's International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: prohibition of discrimination

Age discrimination *not explicitly mentioned!*Both provisions *worded in an open manner.*

THE CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK (1)

- EC law, in particular but no only! the EC Framework Employment Directive.
- National implementation of this Directive.

THE CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK (2)

In the EC

- The so-called Employment Framework Directive (Directive 2000/78/EC).
- The new Directive on EU citizens' movement and residence rights (Directive 2004/38/EC).
- Possibly in the future: The Charter of Fundamental Rights as integrated into the Constitutional Treaty (at present only soft law).

THE CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK (3)

The Employment Framework Directive

- Based on Art. 13 EC.
- Discrimination grounds covered: Religion, race, handicap, age, sexual orientation.
- Adopted in the year 2000.
- Implementation period: In principle until 2 December 2003. But: special rules for handicap and age if the Member States so desire (2 December 2006).

THE CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK (4)

National implementation:

the example of the Netherlands

- Wet gelijke behandeling op grond van leeftijd (WGBL) = Age Discrimination Act.
- Exception for the time being: functional dismissal.
- 21 provisions; some like EC law, some different.
- In force since 1 May 2004.

Overview

- Scope of the legislation
- "Age"
- Discrimination
- Justification
- Positive action
- Multiple discrimination

Scope of the legislation

- Access to work and to professional training
- Working conditions
- Membership with unions

Not covered:

- Statutory social security
- Employment in the army in relation to age and handicap, if a given Member States so chooses.

"Age"

- No definition in the Directive!
- Examples from literature and Dutch case law:
 - Numeric indications of age ("65 years and older").
 - Qualitative indications ("young", "old", "middle-aged").
 - Biological-medical indications ("women in the menopause", "people in puberty").
 - Terms (possibly) related to age ("dynamic", "very experienced", "recently graduated").

Discrimination (1)

- In the Dutch law: "differentiation" (onderscheid) as the basic concept.
- In EC law however: "discrimination", here essentially meaning different treatment of comparable situations.

(Careful: concept in fact more complex!)

Discrimination (2)

- Direct discrimination
- Indirect discrimination
- Harassment
- Instruction to discriminate

Justification (1)

Under EC law

- Public security, public order, public health, protection of rights and freedoms of others (Art. 2(5) of the Directive).
- Occupational requirements (Art. 4).
- Age: objective justification (Art. 6).

(Positive action: see later.)

Justification (2)

Under Dutch law (not for harassment!)

- Two statutory exceptions:
 - Employment policy helping people of certain ages.
 - Dismissal when reaching the statutory pension age (note: not a mentioned in EC law *as a justification ground;* though see preamble).
- Objective justification.

Justification (3)

- Objective justification: the problem of a closed versus an open justification system.
- Traditional approach:
 - Direct discrimination: only grounds explicitly mentioned in the law.
 - Indirect discrimination: in addition, the open category of objective justification.

Justification (4)

- EC law regarding age discrimination:
 Open category also in relation to direct discrimination!!
- Dutch law:So-called half-open system.

Justification (5)

Objective justification in the Netherlands:

- Test relevant already under the old case law. Reason: general approach of national and international law.
- Originally: quite easy test.
- Later: more detailed and careful.
- Today, under the new law: essentially same test as for indirect discrimination on grounds of sex.

Positive action

- EC law: Art. 7
- Nothing in the Dutch law!!!
- But: different approach in the information material of some NGOs (e.g. The European Older People's Platform AGE, www.age-platform.org).

Multiple discrimination

EC law:

- Mentioned in the Directive's preamble, in relation to sex discrimination.
- Problem regarding justification!
 (Competition of open and closed systems.)
- Issue of remedies!

A SHORT CASE STUDY (1)

Introduction

Dutch cases since 1 May 2004:

- Equal Treatment Commission (article by M.J.J. Dankbaar): 18 cases in 2004.
- Ordinary courts (information by the national expert centre on age discrimination):
 e.g. Hoge Raad (Supreme Court judgment of 8 October 2004).

A SHORT CASE STUDY (2)

The case (1)

Judgments 2004-178 and 2004-179 (same case)

- Application for a job with a bank, through a selection agency, by a man who is 50 ears old.
- Selection agency and bank discuss the applicant's age in view of his functioning within a young team.
- Applicant not employed, allegedly because of lack of qualification.
- Applicant's complaint: "Age discrimination!!!"

A SHORT CASE STUDY (3)

The case (2)

Action against the bank:

- Discrimination: who bears the burden of proof?
- If the bank after complaints invites the applicant for an interview but does still not employ him, does that take away the suspicion of discrimination?

A SHORT CASE STUDY (4)

The case (3)

Action against the selection agency:

- Explicit provision in the Dutch law.
- Discrimination on grounds of age?

Regarding both bank and agency: instruction to discriminate?

A SHORT CASE STUDY (5)

The case (4)

Objective justification on grounds of the composition of the workforce?

- Bank: no justification brought forward.
- Selection agency: lack of knowledge about the legal prohibition as objective justification?

CONCLUSION (1)

Is age discrimination special?

- Age as a flexible phenomenon (peoples' ages change constantly).
- The argument of a lesser form of discrimination.

CONCLUSION (2)

What to learn from this session

- Different treatment on grounds of age can be prohibited under EC law.
- Thus: age limits need to be very carefully reconsidered.
- Whoever applies age limits, should clearly state the reasons for this decision.
- The views on objective justification may change over time.
- There is the problem of levelling down.