

IT'S (NOT) ALL 'BOUT THE MONEY:

Remedies for nonmaterial damages in and after C-30/19 *Braathens*

Anna Wallerman Ghavanini

www.handels.gu.s





THE PROBLEM

Action for compensation (SEK 10 000)

- Conceeded by the defendant without admission of guilt
- Defendant concession binding on the courts under national law → no examination on merits

Action for declaratory ruling

- Declared inadmissible under national law as serving no purpose

Can a violation of a primarily nonmaterial right can be adequately compensated solely by the award of monetary damages?

www.handels.gu.s



THE JUDGMENT

Conclusion: National law incompatible with EU Law

- Art 7 (defence of rights) of the Racial Equality Directive 'necessarily' entails right to have violation recognised
- Remedies provided by national law are provide neither adequate compensation nor effective deterrent, as required by Art 15 (sanctions)
- National rules cannot be justified as proper conduct of civil proceedings
- Enforcement before national court is possible because of primacy and direct effect of Art 47 CFR

www.handels.gu.se



THREE MAIN LESSONS OF BRAATHENS

Two conclusions...

- I. Upgrading nonpecuniary compensation in equality law
- II. The Charter of Fundamental Rights as the relevant standard for review of national procedural/remedial rules
- ... and a puzzle
- III. The function(s) of the Charter

www.handels.gu.s



I: From von Colson to Braathens

Von Colson: Recognition without compensation Braathens: Compensation without recognition

A gradual development...

- ... leading to two novelties:
- The twofold function of sanctions: compensation and dissuasion
- A new theory of dissuasion

www.handels.gu.se



II: THE CHARTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

The Charter is hot – *Rewe* effectiveness is not!

Consolidating the trend: Egenberger, Leitner, Braathens

www.handels.gu.s



III: WHAT DOES THE CHARTER COMPATIBILITY TEST ENTAIL?

The three effects of Article 47 CFR:

Modification: National law 'infringes the requirements imposed by Articles

7 and 15 of [the Directive], read in the light of Article 47 of the

Charter'. (para 45)

Exclusion: National law incompatible 'not only with Articles 7 and 15 of

[the Directive] but also with Article 47 of the Charter.' (para 56)

- Competing justification standards: Rule of reason or Art $52\,$

CFR?

Substitution: 'Article 47 of the Charter, $[\ldots]$ is sufficient in itself $[\ldots]$ to confer

on individuals a right which they may rely on as such'. (para

57)

www.handels.gu.s



THANK YOU!

Anna Wallerman Ghavanini anna.ghavanini@law.gu.se

Further reading:

A Wallerman Ghavanini, 'Remedies for nonmaterial damages: Striking out a new direction? Braathens' 59(1) Common Market Law Review 2022 (forthcoming)

www.handels.gu.s

